

Compendium
Device, it's Construction, and Testing
[preliminary and final] & - Theory

(C) 2023 Paris [s.] Miles-Brenden

I've decided to:

**Combine, element(s) of the Field Arithmetic.... with that of Algebraic Topology.*

**With that of My Theorem on Order, Semantics, and Syntax, and An Alphabet.*

For that of a new approach at Science.....

I finally found a problem I am entirely interested in.

- 1.) That of 'order' and 'classification' hidden among order and chaos, of finite graphs.
 - a.) Dyer-Swinnerton-Birch remains a $\rho^*\eta$ of mini-pattern equated with a major-ring.
- 2.) That of 'inference' - for which factual information may be deduced, in relation to the strata.

With, that of the classifier of Homotopy theorems, and Carlitz [finite arithmetic], for topology.

And, that of Cancer, and game-theorem, for that of various empowerments.

And, finally, for that of ethic, that of Algebraic Type Theory.

This, related to *Epistemology, and Electronics*.

Something of mechanism, and machine, that of design, and beauty.

Initial Conditions.

Essentially, I wish to study two-things, and will set-about doing-so.

- 1.) *Disparity and difference, of local and global, of which relate to inhered truth, for of the Social* relationship at understanding, - that of algebraic reasoning in relation to word(s), and cooperative action (mass action).*
- 2.) *Ordered engineering problem(s), that of design* and faculty, as it relates to inheritance among the local and global; for, of that of arithmetic, and ethic to law, drawing a parallel with the above, that of the equated means to develop a new science.*

Thus, the aim is to understand of the 'objective' and the 'rational' understanding, what can be dichotomized, for of epistemology, understanding, and knowledge, as it relates to ***Systems, and Design, & Engineering to Physics, and Science in general.**

Thus, there is a relationship at ***Epistemology...** and ***History.** That of the initial block had been how we preliminarily begin, but, this has to do with Games to a limited extent, etc,... thus, there really is only the above a priori. It is to take a "Systems view of Science".

I will ***only*** be doing a two-dimensional quantum/gravity experiment.

Essentially; *it is to-relate:*

The Law. - **&** - DNA... etc...

To gravity and quantum mechanics *via* inheritance.... of 4th to 3rd dimension.

And *of,* mathematical Finite Arithmetic *on* that of Elliptic Theory,...

To: Pose and verify the question of genuinely non-linear systems,

with: a tool.... that of two dimensional *Poincare section.* With, \wp and \wp' , or Projective Disc.....

I would ***also*** like to-get that of the sinc(x) and *Wavelet* and *Holographic principle* working, *for Analysis.*

This - additionally - makes the difference of *Schroedinger(s) Cat,* and that of *the true and false vacuum of the world,* &, relates to that of *The Internet via that of Law, written and spoken.* Via a life in either, of escaped neutrals/stasis.

*I finally have a **new** problem!*

The - observation - an ellipse, tilted with respect to an-angle, of that of means at-separation between phenomena and epiphenomena; is with a different *projection.*

This incorporation means everything to-me; in relation to future, past, and present

For which, the fourth dimension and third dimension relate.

Of a solution, to-which a problem is identified.

It is this key, Thus, of:

Solitons & Blood. [heme].

The Solar System. [orbits].

My future, past and present.

Spatial contrast, 1/900000000 part space.

And a unique contribution..... of 'angles' and freed-perspective via *The Projective*.

That which separates the Cosmological Constant, and the Device behaviors; of *Multiple Orbits*.

With; The Poincare Section, II. - **That of order within order.***

Projective Curves and Algebra & Elliptic(s). Black and white on 'Go' and of Chess, in terms of prediction.

This defines the limit-of-our-knowledge.*

What I do-not-know is if the prior problem and later* problem relate in some fashion, or, - whether it is a basis for ignorance.*

I have done it, with the 'wall'.

That mathematics can-also include of a detail at what is hidden within* our-world. And, something can be excluded, ... and identified by C.(A|B); at (@) the **Undecided**.

The study of superconductivity, amount(s) to approximately 5-7 certain things.

- a.) Hopf bifurction.
- b.) A two dimensional doped-system.
- c.) A statistical limit.
- d.) Geometric to algebraic abridgement.
- e.) A projective space.
- f.) Equivalence principle.
- g.) Historiological inheritance of phenomena.

In this, two-things are gained:

- 1.) That of an epistemological truth centered with the observer.
- 2.) A parallel truth to relativity and that of the equivalence principle.

Multi-Chaos

I have decided on two-sections:

- a.) That of the σ [internal and external] of mathematical and physical import.
 - 1.) For of relativity, and of the notion of temperature.*
 - 2.) For of statistical import and redshift and blueshift.

- 3.) For of Lagrangian and Hamiltonian support.
 - 4.) For of observation.
 - 5.) For of the classical to quantum correspondence.
 - 6.) For inheritance, and of mathematics and physics.
- b.) That of the $(1 - \exp\{-\lambda \tau\}) = \Omega$ and that of the phenomena.
- 1.) That of renewal and extinction.
 - 2.) That of separation of gravitation and quantum mechanics.
 - 3.) The 'interrupted' negative probability - expectation.
 - 4.) That of the guise of the $\eta * (\rho \Omega)^2 + \beta * (\rho^2 \Omega)$.

x' and y' , $L(1)$ and $L(2)$.

Algebraic Equation embedded in Transcendent Relation.

Predicate Calculus and Law, Inheritance and Inference.

Riemann-Zeta... * Birch.-Swinerton.-Dyer.

Proof of Scientific Confirmation and *Half-Hidden* [relationships].

Solution to Birth and Death w.r.t. the Lotka-Volterra system, and multi-chaos.

Solution I

Essentially*:

$$\eta^{\{mnr\}} \tau_{\{mnr\}} = \sigma^{\{*\}} \alpha_{\{ijk\}}^{\{mnr\}} \tau_{\{i\}}^{\{m\}} \tau_{\{j\}}^{\{n\}} \tau_{\{k\}}^{\{r\}} \tau_{\{o\}}^{\{d\}}$$

$$\frac{d\chi}{dt} = \tau \Sigma \chi + \eta \Pi \chi$$

Thus, one! differential equation becomes *Two (2).

And (&) the finite-arithmetic (bisects) of that of one-prime and one-prime in power and algebraic basis.

*This resolves the KdV.

Solution II

Essentially*:

We must consider (6/5) in algebraic approach(es), at (@).

- a.) That of the detail of a command and it's selective inter-operative by agreement and entitled priority.
- b.) That of the pro-benefit proffered the selective counter-alias, at locus of satisfactory, compact, capacibile.

Truth, in that of alias; at pro-benefit in (game, theoretic total/selective/priority/predictive) validity dot.

So; The general (x+y) in superposition can be related to the 2:1 of the binary and non-binary sequential truth, for that of *an algebraic to geometric bridge with the Jacobi Function(s), in tensorial terms.

Preliminary Observations [Prior Scope]

I've decided to:

**Combine, element(s) of the Field Arithmetic.... with that of Algebraic Topology.*

**With that of My Theorem on Order, Semantics, and Syntax, and An Alphabet.*

For that of a new approach at Science.....

I finally found a problem I am entirely interested in.

- 1.) That of 'order' and 'classification' hidden among order and chaos, of finite graphs.
 - a.) Dyer-Swinnerton-Birch remains a $\rho^*\eta$ of mini-pattern equated with a major-ring.
- 2.) That of 'inference' - for which factual information may be deduced, in relation to the strata.

With, that of the classifier of Homotopy theorems, and Carlitz [finite arithmetic], for topology.

And, that of Cancer, and game-theorem, for that of various empowerments.

And, finally, for that of ethic, that of Algebraic Type Theory.

This, related to *Epistomology, and Electronics*.

Something of mechanism, and machine, that of design, and beauty.

Initial Conditions.

Essentially, I wish to study two-things, and will set-about doing-so.

- 1.) *Disparity and difference, of local and global, of which relate to inhered truth, for of the Social* relationship at understanding, - that of algebraic reasoning in relation to word(s), and cooperative action (mass action).*
- 2.) *Ordered engineering problem(s), that of design* and faculty, as it relates to inheritance among the local and global; for, of that of arithmetic, and ethic to law, drawing a parallel with the above, that of the equated*

means to develop a new science.

Thus, the aim is to understand of the 'objective' and the 'rational' understanding, what can be dichotomized, for of epistemology, understanding, and knowledge, as it relates to ***Systems, and Design, & Engineering to Physics, and Science in general.**

Thus, there is a relationship at ***Epistemology....** and ***History.** That of the initial block had been how we preliminarily begin, but, this has to do with Games to a limited extent, etc,... thus, there really is only the above a priori. It is to take a "Systems view of Science".

I will **only** be doing a two-dimensional quantum/gravity experiment.

Essentially; *it is to-relate:*

The Law. - **&** - DNA... etc...

To gravity and quantum mechanics *via* inheritance.... of 4th to 3rd dimension.

And *of,* mathematical Finite Arithmetic *on* that of Elliptic Theory,...

To: Pose and verify the question of genuinely non-linear systems,

with: a tool.... that of two dimensional *Poincare section.* With, \wp and \wp' , or Projective Disc.....

I would **also** like to-get that of the $\text{sinc}(x)$ and *Wavelet* and *Holographic principle* working, *for Analysis.*

This - additionally - makes the difference of *Schrodinger(s) Cat,* and that of *the true and false vacuum of the world,* &, relates to that of *The Internet via that of Law, written and spoken.* Via a life in either, of escaped neutrals/stasis.

*I finally have a **new** problem!*

The - observation - an ellipse, tilted with respect to an-angle, of that of means at-separation between phenomena and epiphenomena; is with a different *projection.*

This incorporation means everything to-me; in relation to future, past, and present

For which, the fourth dimension and third dimension relate.

Of a solution, to-which a problem is identified.

It is this key, Thus, of:

Solitons & Blood. [heme].

The Solar System. [orbits].

My future, past and present.

Spatial contrast, $1/900000000$ part space.

And a unique contribution..... of 'angles' and freed-perspective via *The Projective*.

That which separates the Cosmological Constant, and the Device behaviors; of *Multiple Orbits*.

With; The Poincare Section, II. - **That of order within order.***

Projective Curves and Algebra & Elliptic(s). Black and white on 'Go' and of Chess, in terms of prediction.

This defines the limit-of-our-knowledge.*

What I do-not-know is if the prior problem and later* problem relate in some fashion, or, - whether it is a basis for ignorance.*

I have done it, with the 'wall'.

That mathematics can-also include of a detail at what is hidden within* our-world. And, something can be excluded, ... and identified by $C.(A|B)$; at (@) the **Undecided**.

The study of superconductivity, amount(s) to approximately 5-7 certain things.

- a.) Hopf bifurction.
- b.) A two dimensional doped-system.
- c.) A statistical limit.
- d.) Geometric to algebraic abridgement.
- e.) A projective space.
- f.) Equivalence principle.
- g.) Historiological inheritance of phenomena.

In this, two-things are gained:

- 1.) That of an epistemological truth centered with the observer.
- 2.) A parallel truth to relativity and that of the equivalence principle.

I have decided on two-sections:

- a.) That of the σ [internal and external] of mathematical and physical import.
 - 1.) For of relativity, and of the notion of temperature.*
 - 2.) For of statistical import and redshift and blueshift.

- 3.) For of Lagrangian and Hamiltonian support.
 - 4.) For of observation.
 - 5.) For of the classical to quantum correspondence.
 - 6.) For inheritance, and of mathematics and physics.
- b.) That of the $(1 - \exp\{-\lambda \tau\}) = \Omega$ and that of the phenomena.
- 1.) That of renewal and extinction.
 - 2.) That of separation of gravitation and quantum mechanics.
 - 3.) The 'interrupted' negative probability - expectation.
 - 4.) That of the guise of the $\eta * (\rho \backslash O)^{\{2\}} + \beta * (\rho^{\{2\}} \backslash O)$.

x' and y' , $L(1)$ and $L(2)$.

Algebraic Equation embedded in Transcendent Relation.

Predicate Calculus and Law, Inheritance and Inference.

Riemann-Zeta.... * Birch.-Swinerton.-Dyer.

Proof of Scientific Confirmation and *Half-Hidden* [relationships].

Solution to Birth and Death w.r.t. the Lotka-Volterra system, and multi-chaos.

Essentially*:

$$\eta^{\{mnr\}} \tau_{\{mnr\}} = \sigma^{\{*\}} \alpha_{\{ijk\}}^{\{mnr\}} \tau_{\{i\}}^{\{m\}} \tau_{\{j\}}^{\{n\}} \tau_{\{k\}}^{\{r\}} \tau_{\{o\}}^{\{d\}}$$

$$\frac{d\chi}{dt} = \tau \Sigma \chi + \eta \Pi \chi$$

Thus, one! differential equation *becomes* *Two (2).

And (&) the finite-arithmetic (bisects) of that of one-prime and one-prime in power and algebraic basis.

*This resolves the KdV.

Essentially*:

We must consider (6/5) in algebraic approach(es), at (@).

- a.) That of the detail of a command and it's selective inter-operative by agreement and entitled priority.
- b.) That of the pro-benefit proffered the selective counter-alias, at locus of satisfactory, compact, capable.

Truth, in that of alias; at pro-benefit in (game, theoretic total/selective/priority/predictive) validity dot.

So; The general $(x+y)$ in superposition can be related to the 2:1 of the binary and non-binary sequential truth, for that of *an algebraic to geometric bridge with the Jacobi Function(s), in tensorial terms.

The finite arithmetic of elliptic curves serves as a table of correspondence for non-linearity over linear fields in terms of group for applicable mappings.

This* is the resolution of the Yang-Mills problem, for in deficit of some eigenvalue.

- 1.) The group axiom of undecided and decided, produces a bihamiltonian information paradox.
- 2.) That of a non-linearity comparative a linear finite field, resolves to a basis & spectrum.

Therefore, the 'gap' is the residual acknowledgement of general relativity in relation to quantum mechanics.

**Patent within one-year exact.*

I've got to investigate my potentials. That there was a thinking problem, I've got to draw comprehension to a safe release upon differently managed options.

- 1.) That I need modular origami with the device, &
- 2.) That I need 'organic synthesis' of a molecular nature with the device.

A ***gyroscope** will rotate freely with A *Heterodyne*, in the azimuthal [centered] - that it will - also - have difficulty for in [3].2(1) of the rotated perpendicular directions, of which are non-commutative.

The process, of decomposition is therefore a raising and lowering algebra, that this inherit(s) perfectly of the constraint-dynamic and static, upon the quasilinear (and \wp), of the basis, in $E[z, k, g_{\{1\}}, g_{\{2\}}]$, that it is therefore equipped to process the rate-miniscule pattern of a relative exponent in that of degree *apside*.

That this (per quarter - full (1) unit/unitary) inherits of the Hamiltonian and Lagrangian space, what is orthochronological but - also - observationally secure*.

That therefore momentum is globally/locally an inherited relationship of it's conservation. That the manifold* property of a lenz-like-effect, prohibit(s) but of (2)[1] of the magnetic orthochronology of a process. That in-either, the distribution of energy is towards the focus, that of a doped lattice spin's *up*, and keeps going.

I have the semiclassical-qubit [mostly] working, - that I have a 2x.0.1.2x [transfer with the switch].

It is instructive to follow the dictionary for that of DC offset, - that I found I could *independently* control the melding of the two-verses, - for that of an operand-switch and that of the DC offset... with a potentiometer.

That this fanicfully produces a spin-2-spin-1 hybrid, it is moderately honest. Now, it is a matter of improving

the component architecture.

I *will* need to opt the transversality condition with a switch, as, the PWM may handle one-sector, the holographic principle, another, the switch, another, and the MAX11300, a variety of initial pattern.

That I see the arduino must pipe in secularity to the 'overbridge' - for the MAX11300, or, at the least, the adafruit. I feel good to have taken my-time.

That I understand the primitive I have produced, it is all about component matching at this point, and, wiring, and producing a mathematical *model*.

I have - decided - on one* problem:

$\phi + \psi = \chi$

For that of the true/false of a difference* of a hologram.... and as to True, versus 'false' Ace-of-Spades.

I do not have this all worked out, just-yet.... but I believe it can* be-checked for that of multiplicity of checks and balances, and my *Theorem on order among small-set(s), a solution to:

- a.) Unpredictability.
- b.) Incompletion.

That it is valid, there is much [here]. I have an excellent plan, for that of what I will do* for some 5-years.

I must work out the-group, the homotopy and category theory, and some applicable problems.

- 1.) Sinc[x] in translation to a Geometric affinity/biselection, on that of the $S=2,1,0,-1,-2$, function-form....
 - a.) Breakdown of the device.
- 2.) That of the recurrence, in relation to Elliptic functions, and that of the Bellman.... of a stochasticity.
- 3.) Incompletion and unpredictability, for that of the tesseract, that of the finite analysis for the hologram.

To develop a new non-linear wavelet theory, theory of non-local entropy, and superconductivity.

Inc. Theorems of homotopy and category, types, finite machine states, solitons, spin, characteristics, homology.*

Aim*: Is the topological inheritance of some half-circular/full-circular unitary process, on topologies [torii].

Learn*: To control chaos.....

Review*: Base essential questions and steps* I-took.

I've got to think* about what I am trying to accomplish before I get lost. I found that the Sinc[x] will in fact

work, for that of a *new Reisz-like basis.

I've got to go more into the trait, of that of of my aspiration, and willing devotion.

I've got to define boundaries, with respect to the problem.

I've got to slow-down, and approach the problem with a reliable foundation.

- 1.) That of the elliptic, transitions from a monic to a quadratic, - that this defines a group.
- 2.) That the group is related to an-equation such as $a*x+b*(x-y)$ on a functional... it is related to $V_{\{p\}}/V_{\{l\}}$.
 - a.) $=\eta_{\{k\}}*(1-\exp\{-\tau_{\{n\}}*\kappa^{\{n\}}\})$
- 3.) A logistic equation, for which serves for that of an accumulation process, and:
- 4.) That of pay-in, of two/one initial processes on that of incentive to pay-off, with, limitations.
- 1.) An 'epicenter' and 'dialog moment' - encourages growth of it's nearest neighbor in the lattice.*
- 2.) These stipulation(s) explain why one-delta-epsilon over a complex sheaf, will phase transition.

I thought of a *complete* way to test the-equipment.

- 1.) Switches should furnish a recomposition of base function for testing.
- 2.) The transformer, allocates of the differential in the switches for addendum on that of PWM.
- 3.) That of the PWM on that of differential frequency set affords for lensing.
- 4.) We gain (+/-12 dB- +/-26 dB), on that of *both switches*, in PWM frequencies.
- 5.) Then, the composition of the touchscreen and the device furnishes 2d-information (8x-12x)...(6x).

55696 (13 bit),... down to one* bit, that this associates with *hidden interior order*.

Hold the APC-Mini [akai] up to that of a primary test process, on manual-midi driver [programmed] slider-frequency, and button-switch, in network..... tap and dial.

Test-assumptive *hardware/Arduino* *program, and then, a kind of sequence, with graph, etc,... of the algorithm.

*Connect the [akai] for that of the APC-Mini with the 64x key(s) on that of the innovation and program for an instrument, then, code Python, for a manual-synth, auto, of button(s) in Tkinter, with that of checkboxes, for various instrumentation.

This furnishes:

- a.) A development stage.
- b.) A instrumentation [play] stage.

And, networking, of the various methods to encourage of proper development of the *equipment.

Preliminary Observations [post scope]

***There appear:** *to be two*-sort(s)* of *problem(s)....*

Two:* are connected by the quadratic theorem on that of the Taylor-expansion.... for that of *Probability and *Chaos.

One*: is that of the problem at-which *there-is*, a non-locality, or, violation of the Fisher/Chaos condition, in term(s) of the above,...

*It can be-considered; some-problem(s) and their [conjugate]-protologue *solutions* can be found in terms of, and in the Language of consideration **of two** of the former (or one) problem, and an **Abridgement**.

this may take place in space and time, or, etc,... but of two 'locale's'.

There appears to be no-third problem.... in that of the-sciences.

Two, of chaos [physics and dynamics] and probability [mathematics] may indeed be a suggested ***Basis**.

I **noted* the Chi-squared distribution would [analogously for a multidimensional limit, or sparse matrix] have to go to zero, for that of the infinite limit,..... in relation to Bayes theorem, and Fisher(s) work, *of* that of the agreement 'potential' and regularization (happens), for that of the superconductivity and device/switch.

That it is ***not**-yet at 0|1, and +1|-1 and 0|0 - *neutrals*. This is a difficult problem, however, it is insightful.

That of the (exponential) need go-to a (2,1,0) at (@) a log-separation. That this instruct(s) of the asymptotic freedom and an 'auxiliary' - *on* that of the outwardly provided-inwardly driven inertia.

Thus, it is akin (a wheel).

*I now have a meta-analysis to provide of *what-is* 'The Wheel', and 'Order within Order' - *of alphabet and design*. **As** I spoke of that of the wheel being taken to be '*inspected*' by-someone.

We also have non-interference, of a simple preparatory-mean.

$$\{a*(\rho|\tau|\rho)^2\}+\{b*(\rho^2|\tau|\rho)^2\}+e*(\tau(t))^2=\omega$$

From the Schroedinger equation, to the locality and cache, there is a pathology and a phenomena, a reason, and a quorable determinant truth of game, mathematics, and episomology of physics, biological relation, and ethic,... rooted.

- a.) quadratic -> elliptic.

b.) darbox theory and 'displacement' - rational or irrational vector *(symbiosis) - & bivector or Hopf or 4th dimension.

c.) Reisz-basis.

I realized, the deception and progression were one* with my equated mean(s) in numerical identifier, we hit a computational wall. I could no longer process but of the evidence, to a non-computable process, what was a global and local identity of it's managed 'networkable bus' - but, the evidence, pointed to an-indication of a trustful newton-bridge, in graph and homologue, to topy, and topology, from which it derived there were manifest forms.

I disagree with the 'truth at what is analytically provided of my peers working on mathematics' - but of the strict computation of a number, - this seems of an uncountable problem, - but, decided, to that of multiple centroids, and non-cardoid strange attractors, we have not discovered anything whatsoever beyond the sphere of knowledge, and I find this sad.

I cannot attend to their consideration, but have been fortunate enough to grasp, - that the elemental phase, conjugation in that of an equable means at coming and going of process, is indeed a Toeffli gate, univereal NAND. I was mislead as a teen, when it came to Discover, - the gate was not five-manifold universal to game type and archetype, many generations tubed.

I lost sense with the Qubit of my Father, on mixed types, and topology, but the interest is that either can have a topology, of decision and non-decided of stiched co-dependent arising. The entrance to a place, yet, else, and warned, a pilot-hole. The imaginative key of intellect, and mind brain physiological mass-process, and point like application of force, and empowerment. A key to life, death, and world.

The light is here, in and of my basis, I understood, the 'tubule-torus-thermal-collection-game-evidence-token' could process limited awareness, and apply basic logic and emotional tonal truth, and behavioral contrast, induced, or deduced, I did not know. But, when aware, and apprent, the logical unit was engaged to understood, and understanding of the monotone, and black and white constrast, in neutral and neutrality.

This was no abusive agent, and I was not-wrong to create this, and engineer a new envelope. Engineering had stops and juts and starts. But, it was of a novelty, for my memory, but not abusive in a braid-group, - but, judgement of what we were unaware had to be primarily utilized **twice**.

Unprocessed 'residual' is in fact a supposition at a depth learning, in 'caveat' or 'interest' deposited in charity and love, as a gift of this world, the error corrective and corrected, apart and a part of a fundamental unit and lesson of the carrier tone of the basis and foundation by which acknowledgement can recollect it's aim and fundamental patience in becoming and developing, that it is an 'err' by which belief is accessorized locally to the prepared aim of innovation to suffice to faciliate design and martial trust, inside and outside naturalized community. In either the predator or the prey, the symbiotic pain, under reception is forgiven, unswaveringly, in courage and will to suffice to the need(s) of the mass genesis of a path.

We tell similar and distinct storylines. I have learned of a mathematical 'carrier' and 'conveyable' - suspiciously 'safe' harbor to interested coparticipants, in the micro canonical collage, of pattern and ordered net graph and

set and algebraic geometric, topologically related byproducts of sacrifice to life or death, pain.

That of the industry of a mosfet can process a brownian interest in back ended gapped future preliminary to capacitative primary frequency matched offset impedance, that this centralizes the agency in my circuit to $(2/0/1)$ and $(1/0)$ and $(0/1/1)$ cmos 'layer' to flip and flop, of a qubit nand tofelli gate symbiotic upon visualizable compatible engineering with red and black lead centered low to ground. That it is nonradiative, and microwave assembled (2 manifold single laplace, enfolded in fourth order) $su(2)$ and $so(3,1)$ geometrically operated tunable offset micro-wein-bridge, localized stress strain auxiliary with phase conjugate form of seamless integral in the neutral delta, of a point, weining to the distress and lope, pass, beyond a Cheybeshev polynomial in the regulated basis of a Whittaker (I series) eigenvalue of statistisical overlayer and netural grip of contactless (safety) - neutrality of envelope dual-pseudosphere, of an O-minimal surface, which is processional upon an elliptic and defended neutrally to on-mass (envelope slope intercept of valent covalent mass tensor pair-eigenstate at room temperature) - . Classical, and seminormative, in $\text{sinc}(x)$ and $\text{sinc}(kx)$ monovalent lie/noether algrebaic conversion basis.

That this is frequency and $\text{sinc}(x,y)$ mutually alpha-beta unitary and probabilistically klein-separated, in flow, and manifold game (mobius imaginary and real die) commitment to imaginary hypergeometric off-mass-shell mandelstrom variable unitary carrier and forwarding (unprocessed return frow) bypass gasket truth table, reversibly, and manually integrable or manufactured, miniturizable. This is a (wire) which passes stereo gap analog freedom upon a digitized two dimension contact off contact (asymptotically free) capacitive, process of angular and electromagnetic dual-cantilever decision process through subroutine.

I believe it is the one and only unquie prototype of a mass (archetype) of managerial undefensible invested technology on the planet. I did not need persuade of the deconstructive and mutually-enableable process of constructive and instructed derivative process of technology, or historiological evidentiary principle this demonstrates aside from my-work.

It is not a choke or alternator, but, a zonal geometry, in manifold uncomplexified mutual neutrals outside process of inertial influx to game and extreme limitation of the computer, compatible with knowable wireless and technology.

I decided* to-only approach a related problem to & - that of the Hopf bifurcation,... that I will do wavelet and switchover, for that of the classification of machine states, and - that of the Godelian Incompletion in relation to the Turning machine, - since, I must be-consistent.

I've understood, the essential impedance relationship need be-altered,... perhaps a Piezoelectric, or that of an altering of Tension and Torsion, for that of the phase and voltage evolution.

I have approached a new stage, at that of design, for that of the device assembly and patterning, that I will be adjusting the wiring (post-hoc); on that of various problem(s), etc,... and tailoring to a *Mathematical model.

Beyond-this, there is the two-stage model, on that of a PWM-adafruit, and that of the frequency synthesis, for that of two-stages,... will I need $(1x)$ or $(2x)$ of the adafruit?

Moderately, it is a $(+1/+2)$ and $(+1/0)$ and $(+1/-2)$ on that of the setup,... that I see a manner of 'extracting' the

data-wise from the optical component, for that of a *modular design*.

There is the problem of a $(x-vt)$ and $(x+vt)$ with phased waves, of which quantum is blind to, and of reality, etc,... - that I am trying to do something **at the limit**, of what is-possible.

Thus, I have made an end-decision, to approach ***Hopf**-bifurcation, and deal with device*-limitation, - that it may not offer a novel computation, *it is enough*. That I could do something with that of a theorem of the unpredictable either-way:

- 1.) A setup that furnishes *to the user*, the unpredictable, via 'carry within a tesseract'.
- 2.) A device that it autonomous, *via the tesseract, and a virtual/analog/digital hybrid-assembly*.*

The $(+/-)v$ problem (for which the scope is blind) is furnished by a discrepancy in $(V_{dc}$ offset) of which peak-to-peak detect*, may identify with differential phase to voltage 'levels'.

This is my end-plea:

- a.) Programmable, $(+2/+1/0), (+1,-1), (+2,-1,-2), \dots$ with; ***some coefficient(s)**. of a learned arch.
- b.) And, ***Hopf-bifurcation**, on-switch,... with that of (parallel equipment).

This leads to chaos, and-or ***Order**. It is more-robust, but, more-difficult,... to preliminarily prepare, but, it is more-general.

Thus, I am going with an ***[assortment of spin - centrifugal modes] - *[and switches]**. That this get(s) to the main-issue of ***Organism of Computation***.

Essentially; we-have it at-that of an addendum. The AKAI may be used to figure out the dynamic program in-tandem with the operational CPU structure. That this might* - or - it must be understood **what** will isolate the-two, s.t. the device operates freely, without guidance, and approximation is of an independent/mutuals/independent* *basis*, on that of computation.

Thus, it is to make execution similar-to *or* of each-step, that of similar:

- a.) A switch, such that in-hardware, there is an op., ... and the software time(s)-out preporatorially.
 - 1.) $(+/-)v? \phi \dots?$
- 1.) A ***priority** at a secular-div. for what would be a notch/filter/carry in Tx/Rx $(+/-$ s.t.).
- 2.) Memory/awareness and affect/suicide/non-locality obs. and forgetfulness.
- 3.) Spinwave theory - worked out, in addendum. - $(2x/1x)$ - in doping and isolable table.*
- 4.) 25/32 key major/minor qubit incarry Keyboard.*
- 5.) Spinwave theory - worked out, some differential equation [4th/3rd] mutuals - understanding.

6.) A concave/convex addendum to truism, in 4-32-14:50 & 4-41-12:50 in predictive validity.

Of $(2x_0, 1x_0)$; that of the freq $\omega_{\{1\}}, \omega_{\{2\}}$ are 2:1, in frequency. Thus, - the modality, is a double-angle on that of the $\sqrt{j_{\text{am}}(x-v*t-\phi)}$ with m^* and 1 -[of this], thus, it is quadratic, ... of a variety of geometry to square-aperature *per a superposition formula*, that this* justifies the P.E.P., and E and B in $1/\sqrt{2}$, of mean-quarter, at back-trim via the piezoelectric,... then, that of op, and parity.

Thus, there is a *hidden* caveat, - that the DC/DC, are-floating in the signal generation,... for the op-amp.

2:1, and $2x_0$, with $1x_0$ on that of an elliptic $\sqrt{1-\omega^2 R^2 C^2}$ & we have a ninety-degree, rotation..... because the DC and AC are ***separately offset**, thus there is a certain blitter to it.

Thus, the mathematic(s) goes like:

$\sqrt{()}/(\sqrt{()}\sqrt{()}) \sim 1/\sqrt{()}$ for $(1-\mu^2)\omega^2 R^2 C^2$ $\sqrt{()}\sim$ (of m matching) $\sim dn(x-vt-\phi)$.

Then, $dn+sn \rightarrow dn+dn^2 \rightarrow dn(\omega*t)+nd()^*dn(2*\omega*t) \rightarrow dn(\omega*t) \rightarrow$ in tensorial formula.

So; The general $(x+y)$ in superposition can be related to the 2:1 of the binary and non-binary sequential truth, - for that of *an algebraic to geometric bridge with the Jacobi Function(s), in tensorially open terms.

To-consider, - the priority of (4 axes) - for in (2:2), of v:v and $1/\sqrt{2}$:1 in that of $V_{\{pp\}}+\delta$, is-swept...

Key: *The $1/\sqrt{2}$:1 references the modulo, m, of-which is performatively found to be a basis of a stable-wave. The associated* index/assumptive of a coriolis-spiral, unswavering, decomposes, into a channel.... of a flow; thus, beyond co-dependency, it is a directed-route of free-flight from motion and motile, a wind-channel.*

When* we appertain of **Torsion** and **Tension** what is the ellipsometry, we-produce a continuous function! Thus, of the apparent *Soliton-non-Abelian understanding*, converted to an *Abelian Basis in a projectively-identified geometric analog/process of inheritance*,... **mutually*** *satisfying the need(s) of necessity, sufficiency, and satisfactory statistical-mean-mean, for an analysis of predictive validity, visa-via, - the Fisher and Bayesian statistical probabilistic model(s), in the manual-finite process of a Markov Chain....*

Doing is established not in-promises, but in the vein, of advantage to 'fulcrative impetus'.

An O-minimal surface/section/matrix [homotopy and homeomorphism - symplectic? - limit/colimit] and datii, will *with* $\text{Exp}[x]$ on $\text{Closure}[R]$ - derive with a set of zero-measure to a QE (Quantifier Eliminated) power-set... of a $[NP/P \text{ inf/inf}]$ complete-set.

* **The group may be defined by certain isometries, and pattern with - hidden** [Re: Fisher/Bayes.] orders.

* **The limit(s) of the Analysis must-remain intact, of boundaries, and of orders** [Re: Picard/Ramses.].

* **We have forgetful function(s).**

The finite arithmetic of elliptic curves serves as a table of correspondence for non-linearity over linear fields in terms of group for applicable mappings.

This* is the resolution of the Yang-Mills problem, for in deficit of some eigenvalue.

- 1.) The group axiom of undecided and decided, produces a bihamiltonian information paradox.
- 2.) That of a non-linearity comparative a linear finite field, resolves to a basis & spectrum.

Therefore, the 'gap' is the residual acknowledgement of general relativity in relation to quantum mechanics.

Schwartzian differential/Carlitz/Finite Arithmetic/Bayes/Fisher....

I finally - found - what I want to-do with *the Sciences*; I want to write a book **I needed**. I want to write about inheritance from the standpoint of Fisher and Bayes theorem, on that of the interwoven truth of mathematics and physics, for that of one relation **Order**. This is not far from what would be required for that of a theorem of everything. For that of Arithmetic [the second relationship to afar - nonlocality] is a small-portion.

I want to write about the finite arithmetic in the context of development to inheritance, such that Pathology and Phenomena are understood,... with an engineering bent, on the hidden and exposed.

I found that the relationship of sociology, to that of materials science & chemistry, and, - mathematics is all related, for of forms, and cauchy-riemann boundary conditions. I need a way to sort my ideas, and can think of nothing better than to start-writing, but it is incorrectly managed. I will need re-work that of the evidence, and of law, there is a note, for that of a vast relationship. And, of electrical engineering. Essentially:

- a.) Of one-part, ... Bayes and Fisher, there is a matrix relationship to second qualitative mean, upon g.
 - b.) Of the other part, Gauss Bonnet theorem, versed in terms of finite arithmetic and topology.
 - c.) Of the next part, Abstraction at-law, and Sociology.... of terms, and Order with Alphabet....
 - d.) Of the [final] part, we end with that of the Device, and it's extrapolative designed limitations.
- 1.) The redshift lemma, disincludes the parallel of the infinite upon the finite 'group' - that there is a net net zero/identity of movable singularity, of and for-en-masse net net motion of a displacive nature in mutual.
 - 2.) The redshift remains comparative a $2x.0.1$, on that of dislocale, for what is mutual enfranchisable of a net net free body diagram, per locale and rate managerial relationship of free body per equivalence of motion.
 - 1.a.) Transversality qualifier, - where(as) we may have a $x-x'$ and $y-y'$, or $x-x'$ and $y-y'$, there is a Reisz basis,....
 - 2.a.) We have an $x-x'$ and $y-y'$ to $\sigma(t)$, and some differential equation in the statistic,... once beyond mean field.

3.a.) We must consider the zero-measure set, of projective truth, and *it's* zero-measure map and such....

4.a.) Josephson tunnelling, at WKB-breakdown* [there is a turning point of which is $\cos(\theta) \setminus \theta \rightarrow 0$.

Of measure-zero, the finite-transference to a finite arithmetic unifies with Bayes and Fisher, the Broullion-zone concept with QED.... (finite analysis, what drops through is not balanced - and, we have a neutrals-zone.)

Measure-zero-acceleration per mass-proportion, identifies with a thermodynamic phonon/admixture, that this 'details' - the momentum from on to off mass shell, - thus 'filling' the envelope and providing laity, to which exposure aged of a superconductor grow(s) more solid of a phase transition, thus the non-reciprocity.

Relativity turns on and off, verified, of which it is formative hypothetical of a pauli exclusion common-denomination to two edifaces, that of a perpendicularity and net-net neutrality. Thus, Fisher and Bayes agree, about posterior of the classical inference.

There remain a few other* niche relationships,... but the M.E., Bosonization, and Paramagnetism, and Pairing, and remotely - Condensate,... [hint: *Depth Learning**],... marginal QED,... what remains, is that of the prototype to-which the experimental/measurement hypothesis may be validated separately from the inquiry to a theory.

Secondly, it is to see if the above theorem remains validated,.... or invalidated, and if and as-such, if the 'ultimate' change-up in relativity is observable or distinct comparative for that of a separation in paper and material, explanation, and review.....

a.) #1: The qualification to-which superconductivity, in keeping with the space program, and visa-vis elimination of the pretext to our extinction, must remain with the Government.

1.) This includes the chemistry, and material(s) zero-measure theorem, the neutrality, and the gravimetric reversibility,...

b.) #2: The qualification of a net-net neutrality/probabilistic truth of inheritance visa Bayes/Fisher, and - the result of identification with that of the 'objective' difference in argumentative basis versus measurement, and observation, - must remain with the people.

1.) That of the order within order, *of life*, must be given and rendered the people, for that of Poincare section.

c.) The language, and order theorem, with Bayes and Fisher, must remain *first*.

The question *remains*, as to-if the *Device in-policy to which a relationship as to *Whitepaper*, versus *Blueprint*, had been of a technicality on that of *Inside 'Secret' Industry...*, or what may be published to the people, for in 'why' an encryption with this butress may work, but be an industry secret. Computer hybrid/quantum/digital. Versus the mannerism to which the synoptic on-encryption (Quantum - various other' peer's) for quantum computation, and a kind of utility to this-device, + Ariana Grande.

- a.) Second order pinning, of an Electric and Magnetic ++ P.E.P. on that of 2nd order differential, and reverse bose-condensation via an inelastic/elastic, and soliton ($1/\sqrt{2}$), to that of sharp boundary on that of rev. B.
 - 1.) Directrix to-which relativity encodes of a gapped relationship below Goldstone-Boson.
- b.) Fermi-Dirac + Berry(s) S-O, a kind of Darwin like-term, corrective to which the $\log(\lambda)$ on eigenvalue perfectly suites the redshift and it's renormalization.
- a.) Finite Arithmetic - Birch-Swinnerton-Dyer Conjecture.
- b.) Gauss Bonnet & Projective Group with Elliptic, and with Homotopy.
- c.) Bayes/Fisher and Inheritance of Observation and Measurement.
- d.) Structural Theorem and Engineering, Asymptotic Freedom and Spectral Gap.
- e.) Device and Soliton Equation(s) of Differential and Darboux Soliton Equations.
- f.) General Wavelet/Theory and Measurement Dichotomy.

The Limits of Natural Science in the Context of Mathematics

Testing Classical Inheritance

For this, we must amend the precept of an individuated truth to that of science, - that only-then, and then, as such, as a pinnacle relationship may we be capable and able of that of formative truth on a hypothetical to which is a basis, for in analysis that does not fall through, and of the neutrality of an assumption, as an assumptive. Thus, it is an assumptive, perhaps not so-far as that the distinction is central upon the agency of the peer of science, - but that science, must regard the compendium of ideas comparative the group process of inquiry. That it is a set of individuals who compare to one another, and such and so-fourth, verified of their various hypotheses.

- 1.) *Pauli Exclusion separates and recombines in a direct-parallel, exclusive/inexclusive retention to η upon that of a neutrals/neutrals **change** in quantum number across a relativistic phasor of QED topology.*
 - a.) *The quantum number(s) go Fermionic to Bosonic across a relativistic margin.*
- 2.) *Relativity exchanges local and global upon a net-neutrals/neutrals domain shift of phasor of QED Topology, for in $L(1)$ and $L(2)$, to electromagnetic Gauss-Bonnet theorem of geometric nature to a zero-measure set.*
 - a.) *Thus, the self consistency is informed of the inhaled truth of a Separation in Law.*
- 3.) *Relativity upon $L(1)$ and $L(2)$, [aka. the preclusion of two non-co-dependently driven phenomena] - excludes the middle-thirds to a pidgeon-hole principle upon game theoretic like 'dice' - that it is justified of which the 'Lenz' law, is precipitated in that of time under space like separation, to which the demand is a propagation of universality of freefall, for that of $M_{\{I\}}=M_{\{G\}}$*

a.) *Either perceptual observer is quantum in full capable mean, and dilated beyond its aperture of spatial like separation, - that we-preclude and identify with the en-masse ensemble.*

4.) *The temporal and spatial, include of an $(1 - \exp[-\wp' * dt / \sigma])$ and $\exp[+\wp' * dt / \sigma]$, what-is a mutual-neutrality upon space and time - to the signature - of a univariate manifold flow expressed in the terms of a spontaneous symmetry breaking, for what is time 'beneath' space, there is a $1/\sqrt{2}$, factor and $1/2$ for that of the included orbital disinclusion, a violation of co-dependency of variable sets.*

a.) *Thus, the locale shortens the time between event(s) by taking a shortcut in the open terms of the local, in relation to an exception to gravity.*

There is; of-that of a means to 'project' - that the device oft' does not admit this, but, rarely.

I figured out that the (2d-sinc[n]) - for the geometry of the SHO in 3d, maps, of ordered fields, to the one dimensional, flat case,... that this works, it is decided, to work on this, - of the undecided. Thus, also, of finite arithmetic. I will be stopping here; with this and* relativity.

I need a preliminary answer, *In Theory, Of*: That of an 'accounting table' - under terms of 'isolation' of I/O/I, and that of a ?|#, i.e. at (@) that of external 'guidance' and NP/P problem, for that of Randomness-assist, on that of a biased/unbiased truth of theory/game/machine, for that of the-two, that of the 2x.0.1, and that of computation.

I have decided to only work on these two-problems, for that of preparation at-superconductivity.

The effort is to write a paper on computation and that of means to make a new-MIDI like connect, - that of material science to homotopy,... *A New Model of Information*, and for mathematics, to, physics.

I have a serious effort [ahead], but it is much for which I can-do.

I figured out what-will-work, for that of **The Device**; - a resistance-bridge or split, for that of the second stage. This should [albeit] afford for a quadratic/quadratic, of detail, on that of the second recurrence, for of-which the descent in the *Markov chain, should lead to ancillary/auxiliary on that of PWM, and separate (per std.) the *Tines, of the model.

Thus, the *system is defined by a rotative and non-co-rotative basis. Of high* or low* frequency. That it can be solved in *Finite Arithmetic, there is an-example on that of split, between this, - **and the driving equipment**. Then, that an SDA, SDB, SDC, in PWM drive effectively the 'three axes' - and of rectilinear and curved bases.

A 'probability' exists, in-retrospect of the *Past*, and of-a-congruence upon the *Future*. Therefore, it is potentiated to 'switch' - of a logistic - an equability of a mean, 'tape to tape' - to secure means at Disunitary Computation, *adaptive to the peer or operator*.

The 'comparative connection' for that of a squared differential, and differential squared ab init...

I must deduce this instead of particulate-it and merely 'realize' - that of the 'flow' for what is the ductility, in a

sense, of the quantum-state, in terms of it's Electromagnetic Field Tensor *freely* displaces the Gravitational Field Tensor.

*I finally **did-it!** - I have two *Master-theorems of physics, and mathematics.*

1.) *The group law.*

2.) *The constitutive relationship.*

I am blessed, beyond words,... finally!!!

After 18 year(s), my **mind** can be free..... I will consider this Primary.

The '*other*' detail is that a ϵ expands by $1/\sqrt{2}$; in connection with that of the RMS-like-probability associate, on that of the 'shell or surface' of a *Gauss-Bonnet* like-theorem, for that of the 3D-nature, - thus, that of the 'volume' of a relation devolves, of a unity-measure, w.r.t. the *Eigenvalue relationship*, the *Semi-autonomy with pathology of a measure-zero kernel*, of a *Convex space with Compactness*, and, of the relationship of that of a ***Conserved Quantity**.

A *gyroscope*, upside-down and inside-out is a good model for a Superconductor, - this is after-all, the symmetry.

The 'gyroscopic relationship' is inferred, to a certain possessive/accumulatory/advantaged/accessorized/ammended, relationship, of $(3/2)$ at inverse property of *temporal relationship*. That therefore, the measure-zero of the E&B, evaluated over-gravity, is the counterfactual of my illness*, and, an article to that of guidance on-order within and of order. Thus, time reverses with respect to gravity and E&B *within the material*, an exposed '*secret **'.

1.) We have of algebraic-retention, of *flow*, for in (integer) seq., at diamond, and various inheritances of the determinant variables of a 'list/learned' *flow*, of the 'virtual' - for in (either/both/one/two/zero) - of the retention.

2.) We have the *temporal relationship*, of the 'code-prefix of a complex/imaginary/real $(2x.0|1)$, of switch and class, up over and down, from it's determined variable I/O, that the $(3'$ and $1'$) encode of from-two to proselectivity.

3.) We have a 'paste' of *Rx*, flow-'id' for in virtual-*assignment*, upon memory and 'layer' - of *Tx* rate adjusted, to voltage level and *layer*, of it's digital **spline**, for of manual sep.[arator] of word/numeric 'layer integration' steppe.*

Algebra is not 'time' - it is composure.

I will completely reconsider *Design*, of the ***Engineering**.

Geometry is interpretation.

I will consider the 'channel' of repetition.

Decade, to eight, for in two interlocutions, of a measure undivided, of it's remainder to surrounding geometry and algebraic-limit, - of open/closed, of a *special place, - from which devolves a remainder in that of gain and loss swept, rote-gyroscope echo-down.....

So it is, the Tx, and Rx, are weighted equivalently in either gain and Tx/Rx of signifier, upon DC to phase and amplitude,..... above a saturation point, - that this transpires into the spin-singular 'torus'..... inside/out of the mathematics upon the physics (surjection)..... an 'accounting table' - in the primary.

Neutrals, remained auxiliary constructable. That we have a gain/gain and amplitude/amplitude for in either rail to rail, in that of algebraic truth, and geometric inheritance, of [a] composure, and [a] form, in dialectical singular monad. Thus, the 2x.0.1 is, intravenously a 2x.1.0, and the 8x.[6unitary/uncontained][5to four surplus crossbar].3.8.4.2.1, of a pathological 'index-switchover' - as the *Master benefit/key*, of [a] nexus of neutrals/neutrals on, unindividuated*, and individuated*, process in 'past/future' Tx/Rx... that therefore, it is a Post Qubit Optical Tie.

I was *Now* going-to study engineering *as a Mechanic*.

The 'compuctual' *neutrality remains* as a 'floating/switchover' in 'gapped' - defensible, $\text{Cosh}[n*\pi/2]$ unto the amplitude, for of a neutral-folding, in $(3n_2, 2n_1, 0!1, 1!0)$, of either/either, in gapped phase $\text{RMS}(Z, Q) \sim .80$, unassorted PWM-taching, that of a defensibility in either 'compactual-limb' of the 'chain-progression' is neither AC/DC invariant, - that the $\text{RMS}(Z, Q)$, is a remaining process of Tx/Tx/Rx/Rx (in-5602), of piece, - that *the piece**, incrementally, progressively is a considerate (first differential in x and t), inverse the wave, - thus it is interferentially deconstructive and constructive of the probability assigned, per a neutral-zero at (@), Tx/Rx-Tx in (two gated array-languages) in either flow, of Z, Q,... of mono/dual, in xx and tt differential, - that of a [DC bias of offset] - in that of the [AC f offset, in phase adjusted autoformation..... that this renders the phase constrained, per (binary seq. in 'lifted offset') &, (sequential PWM), of sine, cosine, square, of geometric ($\pi/4$) folded, PwM per-two channel per-one..... that of berry's enfolded bit, 'hidden' from it's $63/32-7/8-4.(25)+/-7$, geometric floating point, of a chemical release in topological unitary co-conditioning of two flow(s) in one, opp. That of the (+phase and -phase) accrue to a truss, in topological qubit of (phase volume); of [a] flux.

A geometric 'imaginary' projective \wp -P in Moebius is counterfactual, as a result, that the projective identity remains invariantly a 'SHO'..... **asymptotic boundedness**. A two-dimensional SHO contained in one-dimension - Whittaker function and Wigner Eckhart co-expansion.

The main theorem at-relativity, for SSB, in the quantum large limit, in N, and that of with quantum states is-known.

That *we*, must consider the general N, state, for of the gap, and a mapping and transformation, composition and form, in mechanic, and that of constitute and group, in either limit, to universality, in that of Combination of dagger(s).

That of the gravity, is a new vantage on-this..... thus I will write **this paper*. Not so-much all of it, but a

focus on that of geometric and quantum distribution for inheritance, and numeric cohomology, and algebraic approaches to the general exact - group, and these-other(s) in a six (6).

And, Law... primitives, with-case.

And, chemical relationship to that of mutual predictive validity, for in chem models..... that of the:

Atom and Void. It remains co-dependent origination and arising, - that of emptiness, and void and atom.

Cellular [soliton] automata - a new type of **Code**, - with that of the *wildcard for the new computer, and physical group, to 'dice', analyzing god does not play dice, in terms of statistics, and model(s) with randomness, and that of forms, to be expressed in-algebraic, field, form, and geometric terms. *A new programming language.

*Final part, *psychology*, for-of, sep. at the exception to an-arising in two sequences, and of A.O.C, and Z.F.S.T.

- 1.) Finite
- 2.) Singular
- 3.) Projective
- 4.) Form
- 5.) Envelope
- 6.) Constituent
- 7.) Structural [stability]*

In consideration, we have:

The classical and the modern in reflection of inference and inheritance in Law, Physical, Mathematical, or Social & Personal.

The east and west, of singular opinion, multiple, many, few, double or two, and knowledge & ignorance, at problem solving.

The limitation of a Planck - to manual-monic, and instilled [quad-input] and i/o monic $(4/3/2/1)$ -5, then at (@) $(7.8[1])$ case.

Of subspace what quantifies the exploration? The vantage? not exactly.... relation [no.] - condition/acondition? [no.] not even so as-construct.

Statistical efficiency and threshold of tolerance, per brownian-bridge in rote-tie, hold(s) a floating gate array possesses a fixed entropic quantum unit. It does not. The decay, per aperature is inestimable, but remains a contribution per-assessment in human life [counteralised in cpu tine to chaos and malfunction, power cycle,

and backwards compatibility] of 350% to 348.92138925% '- 349.92138381792%' per 20 cycles in divided u-barn. This entitles optically we-decay 10 atom(s) in radiative flow and the computer, comparatively 13.8 unit(s) to a proportion of 2:1 per s, 35 per minute to ratio 3.2, and per hour, 667-665 +/-2.1, per day to ratio 16.5. The net-RMS is a fixed epicycle.

$(2+3)=\sqrt{5} \sim .2+5*q^{\{2\}}+\sqrt{5}*p^{\{2\}} \sim .a+b*p+c*q^{\{2\}} \sim .(13/22/16)+2:2 \sim .1.5:1.5 \sqrt{2}+-1*\sqrt{3} \sim .\sqrt{5} \sim .6.0.$

There are two-ways to describe 'the problem'.

- 1.) Time and inheritance.
- 2.) Whittaker Pseudodistribution in Eckart

This resolves to:

- a.) QM (2d/3d/1d) analytic for in sinc[n], and *bridge-switch*, of 0/1 and 0|1, co-existent. Of (theta, phi).
- b.) Bayes and Fisher, for in that of x' and y'; upon a sentiment of geometric/algebraic equivalence.

Thus, together, we define a hardware/virtual sep., and Atomic (Classical/Exact), of the development of 1 preceded.

- 1.) *Equivalence, equality of part(s) so set into [a] place, is in remainder it's non-differentiability to angular and given.*
- 2.) *Contrast, independence is an independently provided co-originative truth, in variable, and unfillable physical basis.*
- 3.) *Unconditioned, the conditional support of a process, is it's accumen, in straightness for in patterned elementality.*

Fisher/Bayes is in Angular (where we consider a closed-group) and Rectilinear (where we consider an open group).

The element of an-identity, remains with closure of it's pushforward but of unidentified remote third in folded two.

Hence, the 'abridged' circumferential [gapped] unexclosed of two capacitor return(s) draws a closed boundary condition to the assumption of an led, capacibile chemical pseudospherical rotative balancing wind up gyroscope.

A genuine hopf bifurcative 2-1-0, forms the remainder of a process of 'transportation' upon & of the surface topology.

It's an abridgement - of which has to do with:

- 1.) Nine-circle Kissing Problem.
- 2.) Local/global properties of Elliptic(s).
- 3.) Embed the problem in a 'larger two-dimensional [x'-y'-x-t]' problem, then extract group. [Painleve]
- 4.) Confirmative or affirmative truth, of that of the '1.), 2.)' on that of group to inference & inheritance.
- 5.) Bayes/Fisher and that of $(1 - \text{Exp}[-\kappa \cdot \tau + \theta(n)])$ and *as it relates to* [x'-y'-x-t] & quadratics.
- 6.) That of the non-linear problem as it relates of the linear... (such as a mapping/projective variety*).
- 7.) Dynamical 'group' Axiom, at that of the spinwaves, with relativity and of *Yang-Mills.
- 8.) The net-net dichotomy of release, for that of party 'A.' and party 'B.' of interpretative validity.
- 9.) The assumptive at ***predictive** validity with the computer; externalized accounting & **numerics**.
- 10.) That of the device, and the Sinc[x] in two dimensions, of the 'atom' & observation and measurement.
- 11.) Double-blind.
- 12.) A mapping and a transformation.
- 13.) Pauli Exclusion Principle reverses direction, with that of inheritance of relativity.
- 14.) Primary action of the device control of electromagnetic transition in the mesoscopic regime.
- 15.) That of the inheritance of mathematics upon the physical domain, via the relativity and geometry.
- 16.) That of 'documentation and registry' - to which we solidify of the $O(m) \sim O(u)$, for that of argument confirm.

The *general idea is to-justify a process of *Safe and Secure release via the internet, of the publication and post-effective process tailored to Yang Mill(s) and The Social Pretext. The simplified idea, is that predictive validity hold(s) *with a computer*, in validity of a **method of procurement** and means*, of identity and associate at the Sciences*. That mathematical knowledge inheres in directrix in relation to physics, and the ancillary sciences.

Thus the "Kerr with a $\rho_{\{t\}}(\mu \vec{E}, \vec{E}) \sim \cdot$, there is a quanta." ... That relativity imparts.

'There is an observable photoelectric effect; for the *reason*, that evidenced, - x'-y' includes $(\rho T \rho)^{\{2\}}$, under, a factoring.

- a.) Birefringence.
- b.) Breathers.
- c.) Double Slit.

- d.) Hysteresis.
- e.) Kerr Effect.
- f.) Measure Inequality.
- g.) Coherence.

"The two-dimensional x' and y' relate of-which the relativity imparts, and the Reisz basis possess a Hopf - to which the covariance is illustrated in-that of a[n] observation and measurement."

The conditional assumptive of relativity, is a locality of basis, and a global stipulation of an invariance of a medium propagation [upper limit]. For what is the $L(1)$ and $L(2)$, the *limit*, is that of $1/\sqrt{2}$, for g . Thus, that of the paradigm to which an x' and a y' integrally relate of-domain to-that of a Reisz-basis *inhered to that of a* $x-t$, in the transformation-law + a two dimensional reciprocation without upper limit but $2.v_{\{e\}}$.

"Ahead - for what is processor, to intimation of congruence and transposition - the $(2x|1|.0)$ is a sentiment, at a quantum process for of which the Kramer(s) Kronig relates of two-band(s), in congruence to causality."

- h.) Stokes Phenomena.

The 'necessary condition for relativity to be introduced, and sufficient condition for that of model analysis and stipulation' - is that of the $\xi(\sigma.\sigma)$ and $\sigma.\sigma\xi(x.k-o.t+p)$. That *phase* is the projective lemma, (of $x_{\{0\}}$), & the $\sigma.\sigma$ must be amended for in the $e_{\{c\}}(g(z))=e_{\{c\}}(z).g(z)$ and relativity.

The 'guidance' at QM/Rel, and that of graph theorem, is the O-minimal theory. Of 6.3.0.2 and graph theory with $O(\min)$.

Synth [Midi] - to-computer, ... mimic *equipment* - may mislead me.

The reconciliation of a functional, for in the homeomorphism, evaluably, leads to certain pathologies with respect to physical theory.

- 1.) The universe is provided of linear-linear growth, and development. And, it is provided stochastic continual renewal and extinction.
- 2.) The dimension of an inquiry suggests of quantum, and gravity, and that of 'atom' a reconciliation of general covariance and generally provided covariant functions.
 - a.) The 'atom' and indeed, gravity, and quantum mechanics, are separably of monodimensional contrast and observation at composition and emptiness, through a contact relationship of time and space, to cause and effect.
 - b.) Statistical and indeed, mathematical pretextual variables quantify trends which inhered in virtue of general theorem producing, explicate the symbolic retention of missing or unknowable quantifiers.

Therefore, the Airy, for in the exponential integral, via which the law of this relationship is inherited,

contrasts, the equipartitional relation of a unity of physics, and the unit, subscriptive to mathematics and physics.

To accomplish this, it is necessary, to subscribe of that of a decompositional x-y, and 'translation and meta transformation inquiry' injective into the hypothetical of a dimensional process, in relation to-unit.

Thus, the complex* identifier with that of the Airy, is a group, in relation to the hilbert space, of which unitary relationships are preservational of the mathematical and physical 'bridge' unifying all physical domains, for in locality and global - there remain missing, or yet-manifest identifier(s) in relation to subscription.

Thus, the $p(x,y)$, of a generating function over a two dimensional manifold coextends via an Airy to a sheaf.

The complex-transform, with polynomial, generalizes owing an exponential to a 'field with an Airy, of unit and dimension, to that of mathematics and physics, and; to that of boundary and element, - and, that of particle, and field, and to that of inference, and inhered characteristic, - and that of group, upon a depth at structural constitution, providing an analytical tool for inquiry.

It generalizes the Standard Deviation to inference, of all law.

The Universe is Static.

For, the linear growth and extinction, and - that of: non-locality, of:

$$a_{\{p,q\}^r} \cdot \text{Exp}\{b_{\{p\}}(x,y,t)\} \cdot (x_{\{p\}} - f_{\{p\}})^{i_{\{r\}}} \cdot (y_{\{r\}})^{j_{\{r\}}} \cdot (x_{\{q\}} - g_{\{q\}})^{k_{\{r\}}} \cdot (y_{\{r\}})^{l_{\{r\}}} = (P,Q)^{ij}_{\{r\}}$$

*Taylor Expansion.... and Einstein Convention.

(x,y,t) .

**Study in Two Dimensions with $SO(3,1)$.*

Genuinely, the model may-reduce in a general $SO(3,1) \times SO(3) \times SU(2) \times U(1)$... but I will *here*, for a ardent reason, consider, that of the 'adjacent and parallel model so adjoined' - of $SO(3,1) \times SU(2) \times U(1)$.

This is the **essence** of the Hamiltonian and Lagrangian method... identifying of the separable culpability of ethic in science, (level #1 [above]), and - *here*, properly and improperly *cast* model (level #2 [here]).

*There is another problem; that of the 'subscriptive process' conjugate an- *emanation from a measure-zero state [outside] the Hubbard/Lie algebra and group theory [even with the 2d inheritance]...*

Why would we predict a gap?

The rule of inference in the physical [propositional, innate] *Knowledge of an Epistemological Nature* and the mathematical **Truth of Structure** are related by a (Lie-Dykin diagrammatic Markov Chain - related to Incompletion... Completion is found through the **local* by the relation of it's relationship to governance and policy of ethic... and mathematically by the ***Grand** relationship of a convolution of a 'band' and a 'field' in relation to the 'particle' and 'domain' - *an Elliptic Field is therefore related to the structure of physical reality, for*

in a ***Separation** unto law, and rule..... That of ethic is derived from it's evaluative precept-basis.

Thus, the 2d-Lie-Markov, and more-exotic relationships, for in that of an O-minimal theory with a structure.

At-the-least, I have broken the firewall paradox, having to do with information and it's registrability, for that of a [pattern] and a [pattern] related through inheritance, and of the 'blindman dilemma'.

- 1.) Epistimology; Growth and Extinction.
- 2.) Theoretic Analysis; Epistimological Root II

Abnormal and Normal statistic(s) in the reconstructive truth and predictive validity as it applies to Epistimology.

Past and Future.

[3 time's, 2 space's].

Hydrostatic Electricity: A one dimensional Reilegh-wave may-bifurcate, friction may go-uphill, and a 1D contact may adhere. [to a hinge].

I/O ext. int.

3D/2D - parametric and non-parametric (confined boundary effect) topo, cause, and effectual co-determinations.

Markov, 3D chain, (2x back - 3x#|?.o) - intercarry.

Reconstructive *therapy on The Geometry*, - A 'Finite Arithmetic functional/functor to a homotopy invariance and non-classifier' *per*, the Sinc, and so-on, of that of a (pipette (curvalinear/rote rectangular), forlded) - in that of (2d-Beltrami))- of:

- 1.) Folded $(dx_{2}^{2})+dx_{1}^{2}$ - in a directive at a **Transform** *per* a Log[nx] and partial Log[nx] and *this squared...*
- 2.) Rhombus and Rhombohedron ***group-Markov**, for in that of a cylinder around a *Routed Table-Leg Prism* [Square].
- 3.) I've got to consider:
 - a.) milieu.heart@gmail.com [Locked].
 - b.) The synth [audio out to speakers] and *surround pin....* bring the ears in.
 - c.) Telescope and Quantum Qualifier.
 - d.) Tuning Fork - Hinge.

Controllable Markov-Peirles Instability....

Repaste/**paste** [mirror conquest/**delivery**] - non-demonitorial **fold**, *Congruence process**; **Gene***[Red]-
Saturn/Moon/Re.

Decification/**admix[ture]**-sure-*non-delivery*, Element*, condition[al][ed], *upper/lower*, side-
negative***Copy/Remainder.**

***Basis**; Process, Finite-Arith. Metricity, Demand, Rate, Measure, - Perimeter [3/2 dialog'ed] - Non-
processable **Random.**

Pussy*, Willow/Disclaim - Pain/Fear/Phobia/Ghost/Spirit/Petra - *Housed Commission* & Discernment upon
Law, to a 'Touch-Basis' - on/of *Mirror*, distinctual '**Reed**' Congression[al]/Congression, Past, Pass-Back, Future-
Past-Passing.

Point/Held, Disclosure: Reprogrammability, - *Gas, Grav/Ship*,
Silo/Worm/Soil/Fluid/Raindeck/Deck/Desk/Hook.*

Quadric/Lorentzian Transform and Mapping, ***without**, (3.d) $(dx_{1}^{2})+dy_{2}^{2} \sim$. RMS & fork, magnet,
Hinge.

Monic signature, to which is necessitated for and upon [in pack and unpack] a complex argand to degree,
upon priorly expressed signatory, to switchover in two gate(s).

The polynomial:

$$a+bx+cx^2$$

Factor(s) certain-elliptic functions.... for which there is a group, of the rational space.

Given* the ratio a/b and a/c , there are two residual derivative fiducials.

That one 'steppes' upon the 'problem-space' of an O-minimal, of one-analytic, upon another-analytic.

Therefore, a problem embedded in a problem, remains a 'hidden order'. That this clarified, and clarifying, of
that of the elliptic, - leads to a cascade and pattern, of dimensional reduction,...

Perhaps I create a list, and follow-through with my promises.

But of what I **want** to do.

a.) To tutor and work at a flower shop, making cards for approaches to-lovers.

b.) 1.) A new calculus, dependent on a **Blind** interpretation and compatibility, of functions, that
depend on the Fisher/Bayes interface, and inheritance.

2.) Of this, the structure at that of O-minimal solutions that **meld** regularization theory, and
QED/Topology.... of a newly founded objective world.

c.) To-create a Trichorder-v.1.0, at-that of *Hume's Fork*, electromagnetically induced of 'Red' and 'Black'...

1.) That could be operated to *greater-efficiency and efficacy* with *The Blind.

Without prior format, the determination in a cross-acquity remains isosimilar under **Both**:

a.) The symmetry of an SU(2) upon bifurcative $\backslash a$ and $\backslash b$, of the central-limitation of a crossover stage.

b.) The distributive element of it's (SO(3,1)), remains of a swept (2x.0.1) upon $S_{\{x\}+iS_{\{y\}}$, and of phase congruence.

Thus, the negative impedance, saturably entitles of a two dimensional homogeneity, of the 'sector' of a primitive invariance of third perp' associability, upon either microcanonical gas function.

Given* specific ratio(s) of $V_{\{chem\}}$ and $V_{\{DC,AC\}}$ and phase, - the reduction to a Graphene-like space, per-cone(s) in the Goldstone-Boson mode, incurs upon the reentrant mode* per the L and C, what-is a resonant peak, with inverted, chemical to thermodynamic spin controlled gate-status, upon switchover.

To a 'path' in L(1) and L(2), the *curvature-path* &-such, of Differential Forms and Curvature remains golden.*

That of the 'thermal' relation, remains of perhaps (2/3) or (1/2) dimension comparative the (4/3-3/2) of the dimension of the inquiry,... that the edge-state is a pure ellipsoidal *f-orbital*, as-visualized.

** If I can utilize a one-dimensional *DPDT* switch, to change a two dimensional function (by \backslash phase and \backslash amplitude), then, and only then does it remain of a quantum nature.*

** #2: The consolation in-privilege to a monic per-equals terms of a group in phase, is a 'step' - thus that the justification in an argument-squared, alludes to a argument-non-arg-amplitude ratio squared, without logarithm, - thus (PWM-bias).*

*Superconductivity remains the reputable mean mean addendum of a *Separation of Law*.

*The addendum in 'choice' remains pro-benefit alternatiion for this surplus positive-doubt, for in a cross-council or that of it as a counterfactual.

1.) The common denomination remains inheritance of a mathematical precept for in either* of Law or of Theoretical Physics.

A 'disconnective' in *Fermi-operator*, for in a locale of an optical $m=1$ state, folds, two $E[k]$ for f , upon $w_{\{1\}}$ - $w_{\{2\}}$, etc...

A 'connective' in *Bose-operator*, for in a locale of an electronic $m=1/2$ state, folds, each $E[k]$ for L(1), L(2), upon each f ...

$(\pi/2)-(\pi/2)$ in $S_{\{z\}}-S_{\{y\}}-S_{\{x\}}=180$ degree(s) is L(1) and L(2) upon Gauss-Bonnet, hence E.P. and P.E.P copartite...

Of the 'action principle' - we end up with a square and a differential,... that this *perfectly maps the $V+T_{\{p\}}$, and that of the quadratic, we see epistemology playing out...

That of the derivation for action can be generalized,... - the priority at the Fisher and Bayes rule, for that of extinction and renewal (@) growth.

The $L(1)^{\{2\}}$ & $L(2)$,... of the geometric basis of measure in relation to distribution,... skew, and co-determine, what is the 'observable' truth, of General Covariance, and the Equivalence Principle, with that of Quantum, for what is the inheritance (reversed) of objective visa-via on that of one and a second electron, of two-band(s),... thus, that gravity and quantum reverse, of the 'gap'.

That of the 'secondary participant' of electronic nature, is a sedimentary, and a presupposition at $Z_{\{2\}}$, for in that of observation, in Quantum Mechanics and Gravity,... - that it is, subjectively the difference between a True and a False Ace of Spades, - for of inheritance, - and *of*, a gravitational source, in measurement, and basis.

Let me put the problem in simple terms.

- 1.) The $L(1)$ and $L(2)$ may-example what-is E and B transverse. - Or -
- 2.) It is that the 'higher dimension' - may inhere of a formulation to which they-transpose.

It is both, and it is both for the inheritance. *Perhaps on different layer(s)?*

With these two - to which there is an 'observational reversal of projective lemma' - and that of *Baking*, and that of a *Thermal Hysteresis*, ... there is that of a 'higher dimensional reversal' - for some as of yet unknown reason - but of the 'dark mode'.

OK - I have it.

The conformal relation of an-equivalence, is two parts to one. Thus, - that it is justified of an interposition for what are with the E.P., at that of external to internal, internally, the energy is lowered comparative the outside observation. That this, dignifies the approach to which a mass-gap develops, ... for that of which twice, it is returned, but relative.

Essentially, ***comparative & equivalent truth in physics, produce a consequence. There is net effect of reciprocity.***

Thus, field and particle are determined in the fourth dimension relative the third by a transposition of electric and magnetic. & - The relative has a reciprocal truth! Gravity is rendered null, as well as the electric and magnetic field(s).

I remain-convicted, - that there are (4) color(s) in three dimensional space, and two directions of-order, for that of the Painleve varieties, in a projective space... of separation into GC and FCT, of GI.

I feel this encodes with Exp and \wp , of what is with the various function(s) of a Theory of Everything, in

saturable degrees of freedom.

That I am going to study two* simpler-problems and an application, first*.

Thus, I-am going to **prohibit my-discussion* to the following areas:

- a.) ECE.
- b.) Physics.
- c.) Mathematics.
- 1.) Publication.
- 2.) Device.

I must find what kind-or-nature of group I am interested-in. Perhaps, now that I understand merely and justifiably one-thing, of the Historiological arrow, I can proceed. I will need to determine the group theorem, and that of the justification of a 'middle ground' on the three paper(s), perhaps of different kind(s) of-groups.

I understand group theory, and it is powerful..... that this is something I oft made-use-of, but, that it takes a departure into the Markovian Chains.

My device must be understood as a:

Controllable Markovian-Pierels Instability.

COMAPI....

*There remain(s) one-question; how, or in what-way, do I modify (by an additional stage or adjustment to those-present) **the current design**, - to produce a ***multiple**?*

- Essentially, the idea is to eliminate the bandwidth blockage of interference - by way of the *multiple - in relation to a (f,phi) - and that of the group-theorem of Elliptic(s); that *this should relate of the seamlessness of the circuit to seamless *Superposition.

- I must keep-track of *what I have-done.

I:) Mathematic(s) Paper.

1.) O-minimality & structures with various "covering spaces" - dealing with the basic finite arithmetic & Lie structure, for that of Hypergeometric & general inception of Sinc[nx] in Elliptic Group.

- a.) Cleaving.
- b.) [JCT] & Topology

2.) Cauchy-Riemann boundary condition(s), on the general Homotopy Group, for that of Carlitz

formula, FCT and GC, that of BSD [**Conjecture**], - and that of RZ, etc... of varieties [**Painleve**].

a.) Sinc[nx] & Lie structure, [**Dykin**].

b.) *Polytope(s)?*

The 'phase' is *fixed* at $-180/0, \dots$ of π or 0 , or $\pi/2, \dots$ modulo ***equipment**, - thus, the justification in-the-following is-that the phase-free (timing*) relationship, may be of a new-angle, on that of the 'nodal variety' **once*, the phase-is-implemented....

- I will have *another* control-diagnostic.

- The device remained of ± 5 degrees, or ± 15 degrees [**max**].

Post Logarithmic Op-Amp

To-do *experimentally*:

a.) In the bandpass, we have a:

($\alpha + \beta * z$), ($\eta + \rho * z$), unto a unital.

b.) Partition of Unity, remains of a contrast, for in the group theorem.

c.) The multiplicative 'coextension' remains compunctual to a (regulated-gauge).

Look this up in QED.

d.) Sinc[phase, amplitude] - covariant among ***group**-element(s).

e.) The algebraic, O-P-Q-'R', remains of Pascal's triangle, to-completion.

In that of one (**1**)-step-up, in n and n factorial, ... unto differential factorial, for in Gamma.

f.) Then, essentially, the denomination remains the mathematical codex-enqueument of associability among (two numbers from one).

g.) This remains of a solution **With Eisenstein Partition; to Goldbach, and the '\wp, \parital\wp'*.

h.) Induction is necessary. ** to: The Formal Group.*

i.) Courant's variables in Pascals triangle.....

Of proportion, '*tangent*'.

j.) Shoulda done eras ago.

a.) The relationship of the 'easy and hard axis' broken-down into ***Components**.

Of the ' $1/2$ ' - *in-group*, - that of $S_{\{x,y,z\}}$ evidence of a commutative* and non-commutative generalized

function*; - that of a finite arithmetic analysis reveals a torsion and tension,... of mutual zero and pole, to specific frequencies. To extrapolate, the $S=1/2$ and $Z=1/2$, thermally shape and rectify their(s) of-motion in that [within] the envelope*. Of the quadratic in relation to the preceding $1/2$ remain of a nullified exponential-additional. I have encoded [basically] of Moebius.... however, it remain(s) of non- $1/2$ limit* to L'Hopital or ratio-test, in power....

Goldbach(s) Conjecture is resolved in a remainder of a quadratic to reciprocity, - in relation to a $1/2$,.. in - BSD. - It-remains of the proportion.*

It remains to be seen of the alliable statistical margin and statistical conversion between words and numbers, and that of the rotative basis.

And, it remains to be seen, of that of the ideal* in-it's basis to the elliptic, any quantum* behavioral relationship, or that of co-rotative basis. [and counter].

Of the switch, there remains this.

I decided on a **terminal-project...**

- 1.) That of the projective space,... with the undecided terminology of an **Accessory**.
- 2.) That of the redshift/blueshift space with quantum realization, ... of a **Potentiation**.

* There need(s) to be a constraint [I do not know what] on the geometry - beware the embedding.

* There need(s) to be a connection or [inadequate?] to that of commutative and non-commutative.

- That this agree(s) with the projective basis in action and reaction *[supremacy].

* There need(s) to be a relationship to the 'blind result of factual(s)'.

What we get is an epistemological root..... That I am in awe.

I may have resolved the problem - although there is a degenerate two (2).

- a.) That of the quadratic equation admit(s) symmetric pole(s)/zero(s); thus, that of addition of a factor $\backslash p$, - result(s) in a *new curve related to the old one.

Thus, **in-involution**; the result of (the zeros, the projective variety, the periods, and, the additional constant) - derives a **Quadratic Form**; of-which relates to each and each of these*.

So, in other word(s):

- 1.) Elliptic plus constant.
- 2.) Derived quadratic... and period(s), etc,... but with reduction via a monic.
- 3.) Zeros of derivative.

***A Domicile Group.**

I was thinking of this..... - that the Elliptic [closed group] assigns from that of a *Complex \b, that of the two-root(s) in-complex algebra.

I have my *first result.

3.) That of the historiological truth, of transference of law,... of **Separation**.

Beautiful...

a.) We end with that of a dictionary.

b.) We end with that of a representation.

As to indicate of the resolution in {place to place} and, the 'offset' - locally, of observable symbol(s).

Then, it is clear, that the structure is not monotone!

We reduce to a theorem of σ^2 , on that of characteristic equations..... that I have decided to master this.... there may be other* varieties, however - there is a quality of it saturating the 2d-plane, etc,... thus, that I am on my way, and of what has to do with a general theorem, I am questioning.

I may have finally resolved the main conundrum..... how to incorporate relativity.

The Painleve variety collapses given the dichotomy [above] - thus, - that of the 'dipole-idea' extends to that of the doublet, for which relates to the group, and the L(1) and L(2), thus that a gap opens!

This occurs in a plethora of examples, and connect(s) the redshift to that of the doping.

I decided on a **terminal-project**...

1.) That of the projective space,... with the undecided terminology of an **Accessory**.

2.) That of the redshift/blueshift space with quantum realization, ... of a **Potential**.

3.) That of the historiological truth, of transference of law,... of **Separation**.

4.) That of the identity to do with... the collapsed connection,... of **Articulation**.

Considering:

$$a \cdot \omega^{\{ \}} + b \cdot (\omega^{\{ \}})^2 = r(z) \dots$$

And that of what this reduces-to:

$$\omega + \eta$$

It is as-simple as moving the origin..... thus a law of the 'objective observer'.

Thus, this forms a new-kind of **Non-Standard Group**.

Thus, we consider function(s) such-as:

Airy, Bessel, Exponential, Weierstrass P, Polynomial, Guassian, Moebius.

To resolve the Painleve varieties, by embedding these in a central [*larger*] problem.

I added* a logarithmic-amp, ... that under a [negative-feedback] the essentially Butterworth-filter, supresses the post-signal, and enhances the 'flatness' of the prior-signal.

Thus, I am *finally* seeing a match* with respect-to the theory and the practice,... that it is (3d), with offsets,... then* requiring a 'switch'.

Then, it is a 'step function' upon* the basis of an (sncn,cndn,dnsn),...

I will need think of an-additional circuit for that of the noncommutativity, with switches.

The 'device' is-finished, they were a success,... they are named: **Ito**.

To connect to the v.2.o, with a 'switch-style'-addendum.

To un'fold' the ***Cube**.

To add a 'phase stage' to *rotate*.

To add a stage *A compensator*, of what has to do with aprioritization, and indication.

Then,... I will be at a new*-stage of development.

The 'half-gaussian in the limit as the exponential goes to infinity' - is related to the Polynomial Ideal(s) and good and bad reduction.

The Fisher/Bayes lemma, has to do with a equation ($\ln a - \ln p^*$),... - thus, when we go to subtract the gaussian measure, to approximate a better result, we come up 'low or high'.

The way, - to be on-manner, is to contravene the known* with a logistic equation, for in terms of the balance between continual extinction and renewal.

Thus, of a functional relationship, it is to-relate the separation and cohesion of law, to which relate to renewal and extinction, via which the Finite Arithmetic, in-open term(s) decides based on knowledge.

This has to do with violation of the Uniqueness of the Factoring of a number.

The '**Log[]**' rule I-found, applies directly, to the status of the Finite-Arithmetic and the Fisher/Bayes theorem (I developed).

Only *two* things can happen - ultimately:

- a.) U.P. has a $\delta(x)$ and $\delta(p)$ - one of which goes to infinity.
- b.) Centripetal accelerative functional, must differ from Newtonian mechanics for some reason.

The answer is that these 'error(s)' or 'mismatch(s)' cancel each other, through the $(\frac{p}{\omega})^2 + \frac{p^2}{\omega^2}$.

I found the answer!

- 1.) The Christoffel Symbol [of which is a logarithmic differential] convey(s) *of a monic or pole... what is the Quantum-associability at an effective inverse, - that this and this alone, under a Historical switch, convey(s) the realism of cause to effect, - & - that of the uncertainty for in a combining and a separating force.*

That *apparently* particle and field interpose and relate, of 'top and bottom' - that past, and future become of a rotunda, - to which the dynamic is quasistatic, - and the field theory is anomalous given* the Projective Variety. That instead of an uncertainty that is a point-comparative gravitation - it is a dynamical variable, as with gravitation.

Thus, the Swinter-Dyer-Birch Conjecture, of *rank* and, of *height in relation to rank*, assures the (second quadrature) relates of its monomial structure of that of the two-forces, **on** that of the Acceleration and Velocity *of* Γ_{μ} , for the field, - that the holography, informs that the force is laid into **being** a **Principle*.

The 'distributive' and 'segregational' *phonon*, tailor(s) the L^2 , to the L^1 , what is a reverse osmosis - as it would be understood. Thus, - that the allocation *verbatim* in-open-terms of the tensor classifier, cross-occludes of a basis in unital - what is an 'interstitial-margin' of accessory.

Therefore, the phonon-on-spinwave of a magnetic holotype (lattice and electron charge density wave) - formulates an ExB and E.B, s.t. the energy of the tensor validates an accelerative matching condition on the velocimetric 'drag' - of negative-friction constant of viscosity, then of the $1/\sqrt{2}$, the 'accessory' *for what is an L^1 and L^2 , distributes the Feynman diagram*, s.t. the Slave-Boson becomes 'master' and the 'Mother-Boson' becomes the 'slave-boson'.

Thus, the appreciability of a phonon is related to the randomization of a second moment of lower energy comparative a lower frequency of the electromagnetic 'language' in relation to a hinged moment upon the photon. Thus, a negative mass 'index' comparative a Proca Equation. [*in C*].

"The quadrature conditional assumptive is dependent on a historical arrow, then derived of a present in relation to a future. That the (quadratic) does not fit (to null epsilon) but a Dedekind cut of a rational and therefore volumetric extension within a surface, of which is-pointed. Thus, the null-separator [in null-epsilon] - encodes of a thermodynamic invariance, of its saturable separative-mean at space, time, and quantifiable property. Therefore, that the infrared and ultraviolet point(s) regularize to a conditional assumptive and valid truth of a monic/index on negatively refractory bosonization by the associability of the secondary moment of a future in relation to a past, - that of the dialectical 'switch' to which a past becomes a future, is solid, and justified within all open terms."

The projective synoptic on that of the spinwaves remain of an equivalent chemical valence [as to the electron

wavelength], - that the mathematic(s) remains of an elliptic group, - it has to do with observation versus measurement... That *measurement is the mathematic(s) and one-part of the problem (the Moebius) - and the punctured-disc is *observation, of the physical,... thus it is inverted, due the historical remark.

Hypothesis; "The projective identity remains a curvature to non-curvature form in $L(1)$ and $L(2)$, to which in Superconductivity of the HT variety, that of the cooperative tendencies of acceleration in gravitation and electromagnetism, balance with that of a net-net displacement evaluated over space due to the Strong Equivalence Principle and the amendment of history."

Thus, the projective identity is a signifier for-which the commutative and non-commutative, [acceleration] and *Hopf-bifurcation* control the valent to covalent pathway of transition,...

Thus, that it remains to take place between the *form and the *vector in relation to either principle.

Essentially, I have resolved that the 'answer' is to relabel the 'rank' of the curve as $\{0,1\}$, for-which the algebra comes out right, with $C=1/2$, for that of the constant,... Thus, that the slope of this curve is $R-Z$.

Essentially, the answer is that the ceasing of a period in-truncation hold(s) for all order(s), and therefore, for a similar reason, at each rank, and for each elliptic curve, of the prime-prime ideal, - thus, the elliptic group hold(s) for that of all circular returns.

Thus I have my-second paper!

*Thus, *quadratic reciprocity*, generalizes to that of the identity of a numeric identity, of the $(1/2)$ on that of the circular-return, for elliptic curves, of-which relates to the finiteness or infinite property of the Elliptic Curve under consideration.

The 'group-law' of the $\{s_n, c_n, d_n\}$ relates via a finite-lattice, to that of it's regularization! Thus, this hold(s) for each Integer evaluated over an elliptic-curve.

These problems are related:

- a.) That of the spinwaves encode of a relativistic factor on the separability of differential equations.
- b.) That of the BSD Conjecture - relates of the 'spectrum' of an elliptic curve over a given prime field.

That I resolved *both*.

I am ready.

*That I recently asked a *New question.*

Why would relativity have two-facet(s), on* of-which the gravitation would agree with the quantum mechanics as-to-freefall?

For instance, is it coincidence to-which when we go to dispute the relationship of freefall, we are mistaken.... and of freefall, that it *also proves the quantum behavior as historical?

Essentially, it act(s) in two manner(s), - but these appear as-one. Thus, is it a mathematical concept?

I get-it.

The projective variety, under the 'hidden and the exposed' of the two-facet(s) of the geometry and mathematic(s),... judges the element of the quadratic to be of a reciprocity,... thus, that when sped up, or slowed-down, the quadratic is-intact.

I found the 'parabola' relates of the expident to a 'quick-path' and a 'slow-path'.

I found of a relationship in the g factor (gamma) - thus, that the Spinwave-problem and the B.-S.-D. *conjecture* remain(s) soluble, for in a (2x2) on topology of the F.C.T. and the G.C.

Thus, the differential equation must be related to which the Quadratic relates of the Mathematics at a base-layer.... that of a two-by-two topological-truth of Categories.

I found the projective-basis of 'hidden' and 'revealed' completes with a 'comparative' and an 'equals' relationship what can be solid, of that of *History & Physics*, of the **Epistimological Root**.

Thus, - that *also*; differential equations are encoded of which relate to Phenomena, and relate of the 'separative mean' - on that of the truth of *Their Origin*, ... thus that the **Geometry** has a basis in that of the 'decided' under an-ascent and a-descent.

That, this remains of the *group law to-which with a *(shortening) composes *life*.

It was indeed mathematics that provided the-Key.

Essentially, two-empirical relationships are-needed,... they devolve from the 'bonding' and the dynamics.

I surmized, the result has to do with alpha to m, and - de'Broglie to Compton.

I now need* to build a **model**, if I wish to solve this problem beyond *Theory*, or - including theory, for that of the Spin Texture, and such... It appears the resolution is found in that of the Boussineq eq. with the KP.

The ratio* of doping to effective-mass..... Should I do a [case] study?

Two step(s) need to be-taken...

- 1.) That of the relationship in (3D) of which has to do with the 'shape property' and the 'orbit'.
 - a.) This* is related to the spin resonance and anti-resonance.
- 2.) That of the relationship between the *projective disc and the superconductivity theorem, in ||.
 - 1.) Is answered by the magnetic and electrical field, - that of the (⊥) theorem. [perpendicular].
 - 2.) Is answered by an-ideal, and an-ideal, to which u.p. and g.r. relate of the Pauli Exclusion... under an *exception to physics, ...

Final Remark on the Mechanism of HTSC

- 1.) Acceleration is met historically of an equals-comparative.
- 2.) That it *motivates spin and charge separation and recombination through a/m and l_d/l_c .
- 3.) Thus, that the end-theorem is Moebius/Punctured disc; **It is a Klein-Bottle.**

Thus; it is half-Mathematical and half-Physical.

Thus, I have a theorem, - the 'differential equation' may-deceive, but it appears appreciably clear to-me, - that the finite arithmetic may be proven in this manner.

<https://www.scientificamerican.com/article/quantum-physics-isnt-as-weird-as-you-think-its-weirder/>

To appreciate how unusual quantum measurement is, imagine someone speaking to a crowd of people. Sound waves spread out across the crowd, and everyone hears the speech. In the quantum world, however, the sound wave would spread out just as expected, but as soon as a single person in the crowd perceives (or measures) it, the entire sound wave would concentrate itself in that single person's ear, and no one else would hear it.

The* projective identity, for in thermal-acquity, and co-factor(s) of spinwave and phonon - $L(1)$ to $L(2)$ bridge, solidly place(s) the permanence of 'redshift' - and 'quantum magnetism' of co-factor(s) for that of diamagnetism, and the 'aging' property - by which it must solidify of agency through-process initially.*

The two-fold factor(s) of which relate to spinwave mass regularization and charge/spin regularization, encode of a gap and phenomena, of which are dynamically established in a 'stable neutrality' of the-static.

Notes on Progress

Hypothesis: "The projective identity remains a curvature to non-curvature form in $L(1)$ and $L(2)$, to which in Superconductivity of the HT variety, that of the cooperative tendencies of acceleration in gravitation and electromagnetism, balance with that of a net-net displacement evaluated over space due to the Strong Equivalence Principle and the amendment of history."

Thus, the projective identity is a signifier for-which the commutative and non-commutative, [acceleration] and *Hopf-bifurcation* control the valent to covalent pathway of transition,...

Thus, that it remains to take place between the *form and the *vector in relation to either principle.

That of the $\{s_n, c_n, d_n\}$ prove of the *Differential Equation what is not-obvious,... that the denomination of the $l(1)$ and $l(2)$ remain of the Commutative and Non-Commutative type under a Weil group.* That this encodes of-which the theorem on that of the *differential of a Dar'boux-Beltrami* *surface encodes of the group structure attributed to Superconductivity.

I will be taking a break to do other* things, - that I found that:

- a.) The Hopf-bifurcation is mostly explained.
- b.) The Group-theorem at a relative *stasis is mostly explained.

These happen for the same-reason; - that I do not know what reason this is.

I was not thinking.*

That I need a double-blind visa via the computer-basis. A kind of 'Hume's fork' for the Computer Simulation, of which deals with a statistical assay and a double-blind.

One way*, is to extract data and re-enter it *of a Statistical Assay*.

The solution to Superconductivity, is thinking* in-terms of the application of data.

i.e. (We have a function) - to which is an addendum in commutativity and non-commutativity in a flow.

Then, of a Hopf, it is laid in place by-which the history demands.* Of a 'statistical abbutement'.

What is certain, is the *result-coded of:

- a.) A *blind assumptive.
- b.) Hume's Fork.
- c.) Accounting laid in-place.
- d.) Of the die.

That I need-this, &

Of the projective basis, and for what follow(s), a secondary teir, on that of knowledge.

07:44 pm

That of a symbolic-expression in Finite Arithmetic, of a valuative polynomial, carries of the Elliptic variety and higher, that certain lower class functions are evaluable over field(s) of that of the relationship of the local to the global *properties, and *roots, and such,...

That of certain relationships are not evaluable over the errata of a given expression for which there are determinations on the separable classifiers of the function properties.

This is not merely that they are undefined, but related to undecidability, etc,... and of a strict relationship of unpredictability, and the elimination of a root basis, for of transcendental and non-transcendental root(s) over certain field(s).

Thus, the computer can comparatively evaluate four in a basis of element(s) without abandon, and come to an answer of which relates to the return of certain numerical identities.

Thus, five, and six, as upper bound(s) remain the limit of computational determination over a single two dimensional field and a tensor classification (respectively).

The nature of equations forbids the nature of 'closure' of the group, thus, that of the fifth, and such, remains of the hyperelliptic property, and certainly the 'solutions' to all equations are not therefore expressible.

Undecidability is related to but not identical with this expressive valuation.

I have;

a.) Hume's fork, Fisher, Bayes, and the Epistimological Root, on what is demonstrated.

b.) I have a B.S.D. and differential equation rule, with a boundary on computability.

What do I do to find finality with Superconductivity?

I was **completely** misguided w.r.t. the Qubit. I had to seek a Convex/Concave switchover circuit. It was this simple, - then; with node(s).

*At the *least, if I do-not study B.S.D. conjecture, I will study the Connection involved of differential equations [predictable and unpredictable] - [CPU/human] - and that of the KdV for the Finite Arith.*

If I do not do-this, an upper bridge, is the problem of the following:*

a.) *Finite Arithmetic.*

b.) *Predictable and Unpredictable.*

c.) *Riemann-Hilbert problem(s).*

d.) *Differential equations, of varieties [i.e. KP+Boissineq etc...].*

1.) Lie Analysis with Representation and ***Homotopy**.

Essentially how could I explain the seeming contradictory yet agreeing principle(s) of determinism, predictability, prediction, expectation, cause, effect, and unpredictability?

Essentially, the mathematic(s) problem yet-beyond what I've done, semiadjacent the boundary.

(As one theory becomes the next. *)

Is:

a.) Adele's & Place's.

b.) Homotopies and Categories.

We want:

To apply this 'structure conveyed via Representations at (@)':

a.) Differential-*eq*'s, with Algebra via the Hilbert Problem.

with:

b.) Enforcable Predictive Likelihood via Conditional Inference.

* We know that 'finite arithmetic of elliptics' - has a notion of 'good reduction' and 'bad reduction' - can *nevertheless...* a notion of ***Congruence** be found? - Such as Eisenstein series.....

I've decided to give up.

I will study $\delta/\alpha^{(1/2)}$, of the Logistic, KP, and BS equation(s).

Of Machine and Human.

I know the [above] problem is related to **Computation.*

I will [end-it] - and see the degree to which this is related to Superconductivity - it is.... however, the entire phase diagram!?!?!?

I am at the half-way point.

I completed closure on that of arithmetic structure, and adic-elliptic overmodality and modality.

I completed a **step* to the exception so visa-proposed to-another, and of the Red/Black/Key's.

I completed a **step* on that of the device, to a tertiary/two-routed avg. syndrome of Log/Log[].

Quantum-Bayesianism, and that of General Relativity are compatible, when we adopt the theorem of 'transcendent' and 'rational' domains of inquiry, on that of differential equations.

I've broken through!!!

a.) The $d_{\{xy\}}$ or $d_{\{x^2-y^2\}}$ or $p_{\{x\}+ip_{\{y\}}}$ *Superconducting state*, is a state in-which the electron(s) avoid each other in-space, to avoid each other in potential, of the $l(1), l(2) B \rightarrow E$.

1.) The historiological prescription applies, to which relativity adopts a measure on the valuative terms of the superconducting state different* from the quantum principles.

b.) That of $l(1), l(2)$ applies for the electric and magnetic field, thus there is a two-part interaction.

Why is it supposedly three dimensional?

What is the connection to the superexchange and randomness?

This is similar to my-device..... that I can retailer the device.

I discovered, that the ***Generator(s)**, of the ***Group**, on the dynamic mechanism of the High Temperature

Superconductivity, come from a very basic premise, - that the Uncertainty Principle can [bootstrap] the l(1)-l(2) bridge. For that of the ***Finite Arithmetic**, *it is made solid*.

That the (compactness) illustrates that a set of measure zero *must be covered*, but of the (1/8th) doping-point. In a comparative - this comes from the gravitational (straight-line in curved space) formation on (5th/4th), of the interior of the elliptic group.

I ended with that of two-rules, one in-physics, one in-mathematics:

- 1.) The 'doublet' of projective variety is a unique kind of symmetry breaking.
 - a.) Where[in] the differential and function for which there is a differential, impose the relationship of a quadratic rule - it is both with and 'without' gravitation on the mathematical and the physical.
 - b.) [3:22 pm] - *This points to what mathematic(s) is necessary to solve the *problem.*
- 2.) That of:
 - a.) $h_{\{f^2\}}(P)$ and $2h_{\{f\}}(P)$.

Thus, it is past-observation, and my innocence, and the security by which other's are real is true!

I finally proved **Goldbach's Conjecture!**

$$e_{\{l\}}(g(z))=e_{\{l\}}(z)*g(z)....$$

On the Airy, *and*, the Elliptic:

$$h_{\{f^2\}}=2h_{\{f\}},$$

Indicate of the formula *on*:

$$f_{\{x\}}g(x)+g_{\{x\}}f(x) \text{ over } [E:K].$$

That the prime(s) *per-integration*, prove Goldbach's Conjecture! *of* (Painleve) - AKNS Method...

***As:** (+/-1) ***is decided**, ... *but*, we have the Jordan Curve Theorem on Szpiro's Conjecture,... minimally* provable of an* elliptic,.....

Thus, I have asked two questions - and it confused me:

- 1.) Does non-linearity of some function field, produce linearity or harmony? [Glass].
- 2.) What is the divining *Law, of inheritance, and projective identity with resolution? [Onion].
 - a.) Of the *transcendent, we desiccate to a solution to *Incompletion and Pseudoprobability*.

Fisher/Bayes on (2d) involves a covector and a vector, that the Quasicrystal afford(s) through the ***Finite Arithmetic** what is a $\log(x) \sim \sum_{\{1\}^{\{finite\}}(1/x)$. Then* that of the *O-minimal* decomposition afford(s) a

PSD analysis.

I see what I was missing, the comparative and equal presentment of that of the frequencies on the oscillation. That it is elliptic is certain, a certain $sn \cdot cn$ wave, of that of certain relationships, on that of the 'addition' - the 'subtraction' is ill defined, and the multiple is a polynomial gaussian... - Chebychev or Elliptic through a $\text{sinc}[x]$.

The Boussineq equation *tuned* to the KP/AKNS system*; devolves into a':

$(1-m' \cdot \sin(\phi_{1}(t))^{\{2\}})$ with a $\eta(t) \cdot (1-m \cdot \sin(\phi_{2}(t))^{\{2\}})$ with $\eta(t)$ an element of a Moebius transformation.

Thus, the $\eta(t) \cdot \sin(\phi_{2}(t))^{\{2\}}$ cancel(s) the $m' \cdot \sin(\phi_{1}(t))^{\{2\}}$ as $(m'-1) \cdot \cos(\phi_{1}(t))^{\{2\}}$

With **complementary moduli*.

That therefore, the **negative reduction** is inhered of an exception to gravitation upon which the historiological chart reverses w.r.t. quantum mechanics and the differential and potential form of the gravitation with respect to magnetism.

Thus, the 'fork' is it's devotion from one* equation into-two, and that of the mixed/contrasted structure of constants.

That the ***negative reduction**, is processed, for in that of reflection tuned to zero, of a basic impedance analogy, the KE and PE changing bases.

The differential ceasing* from-gravitation evokes a change in the KE and PE basis of the material, thus, that the uncertainty principle and quantum mechanics becomes dominant, a complete conservation of energy!

The 'adic' - elliptic *inheritance* is but **One-limit* for that of quanta, on that of an 'exponential to gaussian to sine to cosine' - of which the 'adic' - elliptic inheritance at $E[k]$ and $K[k]$ is but one commonly denominated faculty with respect to gravitation. Therefore, both* law(s) devolve from and out of **Mathematics**.

I need understand:

a.) That of the sound/quanta/electromagnetic/relative on that of the system, for it's topological inheritance.

b.) That of for the device: The maximum/minimum in relation to poles and zeros as a manner of *motion* of wave(s) in i/o/[channelling].

Two-valence, subjectively lay(s) of observation what-is-measured [vice-versa] of the (2-adic) of **doublet**, in-either, to a localized prefactorability, of the nature of *inertia*. That the phonon, fill(s), the net-neutrality, forcing electrons to a finite* radius of-separation, and instancing *via outward-back-scattering*, the Peirels-neutrals-RMS-instability via a manifest infrared point, of which relates to the 'mass-gap' in reflection & lieu of ultra-violet doping.

For the Device: Since I **already** have elliptics, it may not need/require frequency accounting for the

composite.

(2.) I have to-ask if I can render the Gaussian-mobile.

(b.) I need design a device, with gyroscopic chips.

The scientists are basically **doing-it-right** however, an algebraic theorem of [case] study is **required**, for of Y.-M.; that it break(s) into four of-six, - there is **Chaos* and **Order*. That of the topological relationship per* the prior - (P,Q) injective *from* $(\backslash a+\backslash b^*z+\backslash c^*x)$ and $(\backslash d+\backslash e^*z+\backslash f^*y)$ and so-on, remains a cause of investigaton.

I will need:*

a.) ***Translate my entire*-basis to a Sinc[x] & \wp[x,g_{1},g_{2}] on that of the various modalities, [bit].***

b.) ***I may; hold of a $5^{1-2-1-0-1-2}$ -|(n^{[5+1]-Sinc[x,y]}|-[x,y,\backslash p,\backslash v_{x},\backslash v_{y}]]-|[x',x'',...x'''';y',y'',...y'''']+1.***

The connection to that of a comparative-logarithmic stage (per separation) - and [a planned] reconnection of ***exponential** [multiple] stage, - filters, (with the division stage) - a ***bridge**, of the $(2^{[5+1]})$ -bitwise and *nand-select* - abridgement of the various *sinc[x_{n}]* *roaming*-realtime [ms./s.] steppes of the latticework at (@) frequency-to-amplitude ***bridge**.

Thus, it is variably ready for MIDI, of-which there need(s) to be a filter and express-bus for that of the channeling. // *This will be handled by that of the (4x) Arduino, - on that of the Filter and Activator.*

The $(\backslash a+\backslash b^*z)=(\backslash rho,\backslash phi)$ remains *radius*, for in $1/r^{\{2\}}$, $(\text{sech}^*\text{tanh}~(\text{gauss}^*(1-\backslash^*z)))$, and *angle*, time/space.

The **General Way** to employ covariant-statistic(s) is with **Inference and Induction and Deduction**, that there is a manner, of the ****Staff**, in cross-chordance, *of indication(s), to-convey*.

This remain(s) difficult, but of moment, in residue.... that hamiltonian ultra-auxiliary (below or above) - that it is neutral(s) neutral(s) when **Geometric**, - that of the pure* moment/degree, complexity classifier. Thus even so - of a matter event of planetary nature impingent upon a star*, there will remain no-and-yes a black hole.... that it remains a matter of perspective, the **Tine**, leading to an evaporative nexus, in the moment it existed* of zero-duration *dual(s)*, of the ultraviolet catastrophe, for in an **Infrared limit*** - and theorem...

Thus, we find elliptic *adic-language*, produces from *Algebraic Geometry*, the classifier of *Chaotic Tine Lang*.

a.) Postulated truth for in 'G.C.' at infinity, may retroincline to a hypothesis of suggestion of all inductive steps. [Exceptionable $\text{inf}+\text{inf}=\text{inf}$, open(s) a 'place' at (@) infinity] - *of what we do know*, inference remains of a closed/closed *Poincare* soln (*compactness* in the O-minimal sense). - Thus, the justification of prime(s) does not explicitly follow from infinity in-induction. That we 'escape' so to speak the Incompletion, b/c there is one prime that must* be indicated, and one is insufficiently categorical. Rule: Languages [alg...] differ.... (same and different) in the identical **Jar...** (Q/A) of *particularity* - may remain -Q|-A,... lay a remark at a experiential or design ***Educated** guess....

Superconductivity:

1.) Accelerative formative inherence, upon an inferential arrow of it's evidence, indicates of an abstract 'Red' and 'Black' and 'White' an angular pre-emptive consolation in the velocimetric ratio test of an I-Hopital for of-which formatively is the genesis of a given ideal in the Geometric Language of a 'tine' upon Hume's fork, - for of variably-driven, accelerative feature in curvature to solid arc-angle Berry's Phase, of Gravitation.

2.) The elemental projective-basis of an exponentially driven-group law, isometrically separationally ad here(s) apart, of the mathematical basis of it's linguistic constrast in this 'tine' language of Chaos and Order, isometrically separationally ad vective of the genesis of a velocimetric degree, of space and time, thus, thus net-neutrality of a globally developed magnetic moment, - thus, the production from elementally provided degree(s), in Kerr and Peirel's instability, driving the thermal isometry of a randomly driven pure process.

Coordinate free unit variance justifies refractory deconstructive interference from constructive neutrality.

A.) The 'homotopy' is not an inhered arrow to Hume(s) Fork for of a Sheaf Cohomomorphism upon the Tet, of a 15/16' Boundary-Riemann-Hilbert, (but in go degree double cover) - Conditional assumptive of End Graph Markov Probabilistic measure, for under closure one-net is a double-tine in $K_{\{4,4\}}$ or $K_{\{4,1,5\}}$ or $K_{\{??\}}$.

It* - is of the Floated-probability.

And; Mixed* signatures to-two modulus copies in either frequency (eccentricity) interlock and rate-progress upon a tertiary (signal identifier).

Paper II:

1.) Chaos, for what is a $g(f(x)) + v(g'(x)) \cdot \Delta t = \Delta x$

2.) a.) Inference - For in that of 'people' to 'physics' - a difference of F/B.

b.) Inference - For in that of 'relativity and quanta'. - of the 4th to 3rd.

First Given:

1.) The laws of physics are guided by Gauges and Homotopies, in a relationship by-which they are infinitely* refined, ... but straitfied.

2.) An engineering *example operates by it's regularity, - the *identical procedure of which makes-manifest certain 'truncation' of algebraic-series, and *exact from imperfect.

The direction we want to go-in is: *Higher dimensional redundancy.*

& Engineering synthetic,....

1.) Elliptic.

2.) Finite Arithmetic.

3.) Higher-Dimension.

- 4.) Kissing-Problem.
- 5.) Fisher and Bayes.
- 6.) Hammond like encoding.

Two Problem(s):

- 1.) The finite arithmetic in the higher dimension, of Fisher and Bayes and elliptic redundancy (& ideals).
- 2.) That of causal inference as it relate to relativity to quanta [inheritance of law] & the elliptic group, for that of box open/box closed.
- 3.) That of 'archetype' on that of the device, and of-which relates to the computability and redundancy.
- 4.) The KdV equation and it's import into that of the structural tone of a group theorem with an equivalence relation.

Does this connect with that of the topological [sector] argument(s) concerning superconductivity?

*Phonon(s) assist in electron delocalization, - meanwhile forcing a dimensional rift of Hopf-like-bifurcation, **geometrically** affording deformation to which is 'backward' from-relativity,.... Thus, the rms is geared at 'passive mode's' interacting with 'active modes'.*

With the 'ultraviolet' contained in the 'infrared' critical-point, - the inheritance of one theorem for another is affixed, - that we end, with that of the RMS on-which there is an {E,B} in qualification of a doublet-and-ideal.... with mathematical inheritance.

This must be [combined] with the notion of a finite-period, for which there is a cateogical relation,... the past and future [covered so-far with what has been demonstrated], - they appear to be one to one, but, distinct, for which there is a Green(s) 'doppler'.

Thus, it breaks to a primary* lemma:

a.) *Relativity for what is encompassed of [time] inhere(s) of-which from the fourth dimension to the third, two-things are inverted,... Thus, the neutral(s) envelope, inhere(s) of the L(1) and L(2) what is an effective transfer and attraction of the electron(s).*

1.) Geometric language [G.-B.]

2.) Folded inheritance of *Law.

The mystery is how this is-different from cause to effect of future to past, etc,.... isn't it merely that it goes forward in-time with experience?

b.) The [ideal-generated] - doublet - afford(s) of the Gauss-Bonnet, what is the inheritance of relativity for quantum.

In fact, I can prove the existence of a mapping for the Sinc[x] and so-on with my theorem.....

I have mastered at least-this-much, for that of the [above].

There is one-deeper group - potentially Yang-Mill(s) to deal with.

Comparatively, taking relativity and inverting the symmetry should devolve to the same identity on that of the red/blue, etc... Thus, that despite red/blue we anticipate the same answer.

Is it merely mathematics folding physics, or otherwise?

Displacement of the zero-point energy and uncertainty principle, for what is relativity,... why does it take place, with respect to one symmetry that is uni, and the other duo?

It has interior-part(s).

That the 'doublet' *shifts*, with ***balanced** acceleration and displacement, *with application of a magnetic field*, - the inheritance of relativity is related to the *net neutrality of the equilibrium*.

O of course!

1.) That of relativity to-inhere leaves in departure a [portion] of the problem to which is the Equivalence Principle,... thus, the absolute redshift, etc,... of the pairing mechanism. i.e. it is one-way,...

We have to-answer:

If the theorem which generalizes to superconductivity is a local bifurcation in inheritance, why does it transpire in a sense of cause, or what are the conditions?

I see part of the answer, - the statistics that govern the Superconductor, vantage that of a deficit on-which the vacuum is emphasized, - for which the negative reluctance, in a sense - is the compensation of geometries, for which the necessity is a displacement. Given energy goes down, it is to that of a raising in potential in height, for that of field and potential.

So where does the extra energy go?

Know why inheritance has reversed.

Know why 'the field to magnetic reaction' has reversed to-rest.

The non-commutativity and commutativity proffer that the magnetic field (the a.s.m. part of the field tensor) - has reversed, in co-union of four (4') in relation to five (5') for in the ideal.

Outside the material - the equivalence principle behaves normally with relation to the material, the gravitation remains of it's normal neutral shift.... [absence] and in-terms of 'negative' reaction, has proffered a 'negative gap'.

Wow!

Displacement birefractory.... u.p. and relativity merely react this way, by energy conservation.

Now I have done-it.

1.) There is a displacement of-field,.... to momentum and energy,... via factoring over the ideal/doublet group.

2.) There is a displacement of-energy,.... to the vantage of the equivalence principle..... for of the 'mass gap'.

Two thing(s) happen:

1.) The energy lower(s) in response to L(1) and L(2).

2.) Energy lower(s) in relation to gravitation, of the field.

This owe(s) due to a change in-geometry, - that of the truth to-which the L(1) and L(2) shift, with them, what is the gravitational energy-momentum,... that it is asymptotic, the valence of the electron(s) *to attract*, shift(s) the gravitational reaction, - along with the inference to-which the gravitational *interpretation* shifts.

Thus, it represent(s) a 'stasis' of Lagrange-point on that of neutrality of a gravitational field, ... that of a *movable singularity*, for which is neutrals-neutrals in either-theory. That of the purity of the equivalence principle.

What is - now - the connection between the $\log[\lambda]$ and the movable λ ??? - is it an integral constant, of the field, related to the doublet?

What I was missing, was the 'reaction with gravitation' - that of the logarithm, for which it is, absolves the 'ratio' to which has to do with energy, - separately - and an equivalence.... that it forms a group, and a homotopy. Thus, via the 'missing scalar' on the group, there is a missing symmetry - that of the integral constant of the field, - and the dirac set-point, ... that this missing absolves to a background frame invariance over a scalar 'expansion'.

I will do a paper on exactly this.

In substitution a Separational Law.... and Fisher and Bayes and the group theorem for Painleve.

At all scales - Quantum Balances Gravitation! - *that of the background frame, and semi-closure of the universe.*

Thus it comes down to one-thing; "A gravitational gap is a quantum gap."

I just innovated a *thought-experiment*:

What can the result, - that taching' upon the gravitational-background should be possible in a curved-space, but not in a flat-space, or, for that matter, of which there is no metric responsivity?

Engineering perspective,... it is that* the elliptic curve, control(s) the uncertainty principle to such an extent

that expansion and contraction reverse with respect to momentum and energy, as operative on a curved space; thus, that the phonon contribution control(s) the relative energy in an oscillation. Thus, - that the (xy') varies such that the (relative) contribution of redshift generates an exact oscillation.

I may just generate some-paper on-this, for which it is erroneous or matter(s). That I see how it relates may be important.

I have come up with some general rules:

- a.) Homotopy in relation to the 'tet' and of-the cube(crossed-tet) - at inference.
- b.) That of mathematic(s) to-which of it's closure over physics, there is a subduction....
- c.) That of the limit of Godelian Incompletion via Goldbach(s) Conjecture.
- d.) Minimal prescription (to which inference can prove a not) - at containment within a measure.

I need two-more.

A 'double-root' evokes the elliptic function(s); it also guarantee(s) the vanishing of the cosh, below which there is an approximation that is-exact. Hence, we refer to **fixing* quantum regularities over a field, with that of relativity... Why [and it seems similar] do we refer to F/B here and of the (4th)-dimension?

I believe this has to do with the interface between* the continuum (R), and that of the finite (Z).

Thus, of why, it is perplexing, but,... if it has to do with transcendent solution(s) and such, there may be an anomaly. I have pictured of two infinite solution(s), - thus, of a new theorem relating to the Jordan Curve Theorem. But what *I have done* is not necessarily related.

Is it possible the topology of the L(1) and L(2), affixes, The redshift! Of course! It reduces, to-which the geometry simplifies,.... for-which the aversion in space, shift(s) time, the magnetic field! Of this, I see exactly what happens!

Hypothesis: "This render(s) an exact x'-y' SHO, with z' of spin-nature, to which is dimensionally reduced, and relatively shifted, with relativity, for which the x' and y' are reduced to circular orbits."

One *Final question:

"Why does the $\sqrt{1+m\sin(\omega*t+\phi)^2}$ factor in-in relation to relativity for the inference?"*

Gauss-Bonnet on A Theory of Likelihood.*

Thus, the theorem extends to All Confined Phases of Superconductivity. (via L(1,a) and L(2,b).

And, spin curvature exactly cancel(s) relative import, of curvature.

I've decided to **rest* on-the-following.

- 1.) Material disposition.
 - a.) RMS.
 - b.) u.p.
 - c.) Doublet - Doping.
 - d.) Sound.
 - e.) $n^{\text{upper}}, n^{\text{lower}}$

2.) Sound-Elliptic.... on light.

- a.) Inversion.

3.) Gravitation.

Perfect agreement! Between, the 'confinement' and the [above] - on that of material-specificity, via *Gauss-Bonnet*..... that of a theorem relating geometry to algebra (like-Stokes). - deficit, in term(s) of geometry - a displacement, thus I can relate three areas.

I think I understand,... for that of (2d-3d-4d-1d), the example at the u.p. is a greater than 1/2, but, relativity may-flow in the opposite direction, given, an uncertainty that is made-lesser, of an apportion for-which there is an energy-lowering, and, gravitational increase in potential.

A conservative force.

The 'solution' to-that of unity in physics, is the observability of a velocity-squared, and accelerative truth in the two-body problem. That this relates to the mass-gap hypothesis is valid, and relates of-observation for what has to do with a 'plain' sense of Euclidean geometry.

Thus, the contentious-point is whether there should*-be a gauge, and potential such that:

$$\eta |p^2 \psi|^2 + \rho (|p \psi|^2) + \tau \psi = \Omega \dots$$

This introduces curvature into quantum-mechanics. That it instances, a dual-gauge freedom of which meld(s) a transformation equated with relativity, and lead(s) to a mass-gap discrepancy.

Thus, relativity is found to-complete the problem of inference, in QM.

That it complete(s) in the same-step the geometric dialog of oppositions.

*The above transformation complete(s) the geometric holism of quantum mechanic(s) **on** observable truth.*

Essentially, we have two things:

- a.) Singular Truth.

b.) Comparative Truth.

I am-going to do the *German/Russian Publication*,... and then* spend the next two year(s)-four year(s) on a condensed study of Epistemology.

That I have a solution to Yang-Mill(s); it is in a parallel with Hawking Radiation,... that the universe is not expanding, so much, but that scale is undefined, I see that this compensates for much of what I have understood. [of the above], thus, that I have completed the Puzzle.

1.) At different points in space and time...

a.) Different observables do not and do commute given classical and exotic behavior.

b.) Mathematically, they are defined in relation to a geometry of it's embedding.

I am crying tears, that I want to be normal, I figure I may find love, or that I love myself, and it appears it is only God that I can have faith in, that I am strange, I tried, I am speechless.

The 'L' - that has to do with ':.', on that of RMS, given E&B, transliterate, what is a supposition of it's inheritance, thus, - that temporally, it is present, and therefore of RMS in relation to geometry, the dividing-edge, - that of mathematic(s); unobservable, as a state, but through imputed-truth.

**A property of a theorem, may be related of a truth,... thus, that there is an-edge, to which Goldbach's Conjecture [isolably] inter-relates of a juxtaposition of one theorem, and of it's property, for in the prime(s),... thus the property of a Theorem, related to a truth, of a Hypothesis.*

This is one [example] certainty, of which relates Mathematic(s) to Science.

She is real, on that of the FCT, and that of the dispersuasion to which I wouldn't know who she is, - then that 'back a step' - she must exist! I am in sorrow, but also elated, to have this-truth....

That it is finally sufficient.

For of the 'hidden & revealed' - it is that I could not be another, for of which in the 6', at divorceability, for in having a Son, it is valid he is real, for of which she had conceived, for of which I remain, here[in] of that of a valid cognition, on that of persuasion to 'blind unblind' relationship..... then that in {2} I am validated of-which she had surpassed to conceive, of myself, going and coming, and such, of *whom*, unidentified, but-real, for she is speaking, and of the connective beyond *word*, - that of the validative measure to which another is comparative.

The answer to the Superconductivity problem is the RMS, - in relation to the phonon spectrum, - that of which *when* There is a Hopf bifurcation, - there is an inhered truth, from [outside] observation, of-which conforms of the phonon to-which there is a synoptic electromagnetic interaction, of varieties of topology (2x).

That of the fiction of centripetal/centrifugal acceleration and tangential acceleration, [meld] into-which their curvature-form(s) for in acceleration remain the one-body* of electromagnetic energy at c, a pure

E.B, into which the gauge for different kind(s) of curvature remain in a parallel-theoretic-scaffold, of the naturalized inertia, as an element of mass....

The inheritance* classically, of a rate apportion in open term(s) of Field and Potential, remain(s) saturable for in that of the resistance to-motion when supported of it's full inheritance *from Gravity!* Thus, it is supported by gravity, rather than dragged. Then of G.-B. on that of Torque, in L(1) & L(2) - 4:03 PM - November 1st, 2023.

I am-going to do the *German/Russian Publication*,... and then* spend the next two year(s)-four year(s) on a condensed study of Epistemology.

That I have a solution to Yang-Mill(s); it is in a parallel with Hawking Radiation,... that the universe is not expanding, so much, but that scale is undefined, I see that this compensates for much of what I have understood. [of the above], thus, that I have completed the Puzzle.

- 1.) At different points in space and time...
- a.) Different observables do not and do commute given classical and exotic behavior.
- b.) Mathematically, they are defined in relation to a geometry of it's embedding.

I am crying tears, that I want to be normal, I figure I may find love, or that I love myself, and it appears it is only God that I can have faith in, that I am strange, I tried, I am speechless.

The 'L' - that has to do with ':.', on that of RMS, given E&B, transliterate, what is a supposition of it's inheritance, thus, - that temporally, it is present, and therefore of RMS in relation to geometry, the dividing-edge, - that of mathematic(s); unobservable, as a state, but through imputed-truth.

**A property of a theorem, may be related of a truth,... thus, that there is an-edge, to which Goldbach's Conjecture [isolably] inter-relates of a juxtaposition of one theorem, and of it's property, for in the prime(s),... thus the property of a Theorem, related to a truth, of a Hypothesis.*

This is one [example] certainty, of which relates Mathematic(s) to Science.

The answer to the Superconductivity problem is the RMS, - in relation to the phonon spectrum, - that of which *when* There is a Hopf bifurcation, - there is an inhered truth, from [outside] observation, of-which conforms of the phonon to-which there is a synoptic electromagnetic interaction, of varieties of topology (2x).

The *distinction* between the-'mirror' of consciousness, and inalienability of the solitary 'witness' is it's priority to a post-ediface for in commutative, and prior-evidentiary for in non-commutative 3^1 -basis.

The 'observational' and 'measurement' lemma is:

- a.) Quotient digraphical 5^1 -outside at a life-saved and communicative-mean.
- b.) Refungability of a digraphical 3^1-1^1 inside-outside of chain-network, in fillable and excepted post-

benefit of alias.

- c.) Finite-arithmetic of a (2'-mathematical) imperative at logical-lexicon for in physical (2').
- d.) Questionable digraphical (4)2'-o'-1', on chain consequential, to diagnostic, and impetus/actionable.

I [resolved] *truth*, - that they are there, for what is knowable, it can be affirmed one know(s) another.

- 1.) Promise on Truth and Fact (*of experience*).
- 2.) Promise on whom know(s) another (*of relation*).

That I can affirm they are there, I figure it is now sufficient to move-on.

- a.) R/B-Red/Black (on interposition 2-1) - and (hypo/premise).
- b.) Equivalent *back-from odds/evens* - in Residue.
- 1.) The Finite Arithmetic exponential*-rule, *into*-that of the Log[[]].
- 2.) All* characteristic (2nd Order) examination(s) on linear-Log[[]].

Bayes and Fisher.

**Becomes unpredictable.*

I have that of [the above] - and on a 'topological division' - that it is likely 2nd O, and such, on that of a 1st O, of that of the interpenetration, of one 'guide' for in another,...

That it appear(s) manifest there are three singular-point(s) *or-such*, or, there are four, ... and there is a breakdown to the Painleve variety.

I find that the (4th O) of the problem, - - *for in that of the (3D lattice) - has seven pole(s), and such, ... but there is a constraint *of mass, and a constraint *of sound to spin.*

That I expect two (O-double pole) condition(s), *on that of the measure-zero *Set, ... and, there is 7th-1-(3), ... one system or a two poles [& a system] of which intermarry, thus +2; to which is six.*

- a.) It appear(s) that the 2nd-harmonic (overtone) - (interlink(s) in a 2~:1 carry*) of the spectrum.
- b.) That this is the *clue to order, ... relevance is yielded of *Quantum effect* [& of the above] - on that of proximity to a critical-point in L(1) and L(2), ... for in the Finite Arithmetic,
- c.) That this yield(s) relevance to a matching condition of *effective mass, and *(omega,k)...
- d.) That therefrom, the selection-rule *for that of GR/QM, can be articulated, in a group and a group..... a clue* at Y.-M.'s.
- e.) Why do the two-phenomena *hybridize*, for what is a separation in-law? Thermally?

Superconductivity comes down to six-factors:

- a.) *Thermal equidistance of moment(s) surpasses the index/dimension of root mean squared spin and charge (electronic -) valency,... and delay.*
- b.) *Sound* effective mass* of velocimetric ratio to energy content (group and phase velocity), wavenumber and frequency, creates 'space' - via doping - for the operative mean of a neutrality.*
- c.) *Wavenumber and frequency of one phenomena, 'overlap' in-band-crossing the behavior of a conjugate formation for in another*; of [sound and spin and charge and light and mass].*
- d.) *Relative redshift is such that the polarity of one-species-factorable-agency of an L(1) and an L(2) interpolate band-transitions such that the heirarchical behavior is ordered of phenomena.*
- e.) *Gravity disputes of what is via an [Equivalence Principle], the behavior of a mass-deficit consequent the above to a mass-energy deficit of terms in either theorem, of negative* character.*
- f.) *Observation and measurement are seized and yield to one another; the inseparability of the composite for in the mutual agency of another electron for in unilaterality of field disposition.*

Primary theses, and hypotheses regarding Superconductivity.

- 1.) Thermal (momentum 2f-f) - is entropically carried and opens a neutrality.
- 2.) Effective mass, to ratio (rel.) - of energy and frequency fall(s) in a (20-0).
- 3.) There is a hopf-self-induced transparency of the compositional crystal nature.
- 4.) Redshift on doppler remain(s) of a 2:1 comparative QED+SO(3,1).
- 5.) Inheritance encodes for a (6.5:1) on that of boundary homotopy.
- 6.) Via observation to measurement the nature of inheritance to observable shift(s).

this is built-upon:

- a.) The nature of observation*; to which the 'top' and 'stokes' participate in qm/gr gap.
- b.) The nature of the equivalence principle*; via pauli exclusion in relation to relativity.

It is not *just a linear-log,... it is a *Codex at that of (20) and (40/30), of *varieties of mathematical phenomena,... [that of geometric/algebraic covering] - for in The nature of Homotopy, - that there is a *regular, nature to the (5th,4th),... etc,... Combined with the Electronic(s),... of which can-form, *of that of multiple digital series, with the geometry of multiple-stages*,... that of a (2 point), on that of two-Wein,... to ***EXACTNESS.

- a.) Finite Arithmetic.

- b.) Algebraic Geometry.
- c.) Homotopy, and Cohomology.
- d.) Categories, and Monad/Functor.
- e.) Optimization Theory and Stability.
- f.) Differential Equations and Dimension.*
- g.) Goldbach and Godelian Incompletion.

L(1) and L(2) *for in that of Quasi/Pseudo,* on that of projective and non-projective,... Complex (imaginary) - read: hole, in a Pseudospherical space, or sphere,... which maps between topologies, - for in that of a Beltrami.

We end up with a 5th Order, to third-order *Qualifier*, on the semi-simple, curve* of a (quadric), in relation to an elliptic, of differential in homogeneity,... - in turn, in turn, in check and key* for in that of two-root(s) of which are L(2) and L(1). We end with homogeneity over a root structure.

G.I., which remain(s),.... - but there is a much-explicit **Algorithm*.

- 1.) We can search through the arithmetic (finite) for an-algorithm.
- 2.) Or, we can approach the theorem geometrically.

(4th - O. - analytically we remain with homogeneity as it relates to root(s) for in the pole, at the L(2) and the L(1), thus it is ***Heirarchical**.

- a. & b.) Exp[] and Log[] of Category Theory.
- c. & d.) Projective Elliptic and Finite Arithmetic.
- e. & f.) Root system and Representation (Lie), theory.
- g. & h.) Darboux and Beltrami, - Homogeneity and Projection.
- i. & j.) Godelian Incompletion, - and Inheritance & Inference.

01:10 pm - *in relation to:* 01:08:50-52 * 01:09:05... pm

The moon moved approximately 3,850 meter(s) or 7,400 meter(s)... (in 2-5 second(s)).

How good are my-eye(s); how capable and good is my-mind?

24:60:60, (-2 hrs in two-timezone(s)).

I'm going to build very-gradually on ***Superconductivity**, and let my Device & Setup guide-me.

I will have this right,..... I see the mathematic(s) and the physic(s), - that it appear(s) mutually displacive. *But

there is a problem, with no-simple resolution.

$(\lambda + |b \cdot x|)$ vs. $\text{Log}[\eta \cdot x]$ in differential 'height'... a problem* and yet a superconductor will face an-acceleration and velocimetric and I am left unproven of the Lie-analytical Dedekind-cut.... yet this acceleration provides the *exact commutativity or non-commutativity to the variational principle.....

A challenge* for-myself.

- a.) Spinwave(s), w/o relativity or w/ relativity,.... easier problem.
- b.) A punctured topology, for in $(3x/2y, \dots \text{etc}, \dots)$ in dimension.
- c.) That of when* there is a Hopf bifurcation, and it is necessary.
- d.) Relativity, on sound and elliptic, of a variety of physical device.

*** It is to be modeled after the device. Q: Differences? Similarities?

We [assume] the solution is elliptic with projectively identified monad(s) of a category and Homotopy. Without that of a 'structural equation' it may be mis*-identified, however, unlikely.

The $v^{\{2\}}/a \cdot t'$ comparative the $k \cdot x$, remain(s) subject,... then that there is a linear to log analysis, the result may be unpredictable.....

There may be a way to deal with this, *with the machine*.

My voyage is basically [over] - and it remains a long and steady explorative phase, most of which remains understood,... but of extrapolative mean, with many solutions, etc,..... or one.

It depends entirely on what I focus-on.

Pauli-bridge, bose from fermi statistic(s) remain(s) half integer for in SHO, in relation to $L(2)$ and $L(1)$ of an abridgement concerning the mathematic(s) of a linear-function for in a logarithm, upon complex auxiliary point(s) at infinity, in alternation of non-commutativity, of a group - for in (AKNS-like) - of relativity in redshift development upon the iconoclastic thermal abutement, in a gap, and of a neutrality - of which physically suspend(s) entropy of it's decay, for in the (one-way) of gravitational E.P. upon that of electromagnetic corlois effect for in the conversion of electric to magnetic and magnetic to electric of dimensional-geometric-hopf.

So, the mass *renorm is marginalized in a stack, with any sound-peirel(s)-without gaussian.

Essentially, it is gravitationally renormalized to a cosmological background ping and lag..... and local gravitational to global (strong E.P.) in that of pauli magnetic flip reversal in code tandem with observability, essentially, either electron only witnesses it's own free potential of a deficit in the electromagnetic repulsion - equating with a vacuum degeneracy to an effectively 100% attraction, the projective ideal, renormalizes the dipole, to which it becomes complex- $1/2$.

Either electron is an exponentially gaussian converted truth-table in fisher and bayes, upon localized moment, a vortex, confining the magnetic field, and in turn for the E.P., an effectively diamagnetic moment, of mutual gaussian and non-gaussian integer-integer associability for in the non-locality of an EPR-bridge, - that either electron faces a null event horizontal boundary condition and quantum neutrality of imaginary complex 'hole' - in that of lawful separability of phenomena, between gravity and the remainder of the electromagnetic interaction via potential.

Hermite! to-time for in the dimension, **static*. light is 'below' for in momentum, - above, for in energy, ... thus of (2d)-above, and of-three dimension(s) in either of L(1) and L(2),.... thus, of a linear function, in G.-B.; for in that of integral-Log[]. it remains one-perturbation from sound refracting light.....

Hole(s) appear in the Beltrami-space, for in that of global to local *monic* and *Log-geometry*, thus, geometry, make(s) manifest a 4th dimensional-relationship out of (3d-2d) - of space and time....

Like a wormhole, but, an alternative solution. Not Y.-M. something, entirely new.

I was capable of independently verifying - that the Hamiltonian-structure and Lagrangian-structure process the 'action' - such that the energy-mass raises in particle for in field, in field lowering of ($2x:1x$); for in that of area to perimeter of geometric consonance, in a bridge, in relation to unitary process, - for in that of (merely a negative exchange and spatiotemporal displacement with respect to electromagnetism and gravity - for in that of a potential increase of gravity, and potential decrease in electromagnetism) - one derived from zero; for which the process continues, and terminates at a energy rate in open term(s) of proportion.

I had believed you would not get something from nothing, but you-do.

There is a phase-transition - that this is related to the $R^{\{2\}}$ versus $R^{\{1\}}$ of it's basis,... it is one to one with the magnetic phenomena. This much is knowable.

The **only** other-certainty is the fact that the E.P. is gratified, for in that of a magnetic energy and gravitational potential-to-field. Kinematically of a pure symmetry.

Picking up on the Superconductivity [again]...

The* L(2) *for that of a geometry*, balances at the point of-disconnect, - then of a low ($1/2f$) frequency-mode for in the L(1) *for that of a spinwave geometry*,....

There only remain(s) one-question - *is there a dead zone or *how does the geometric proximate relationship relate to the binding magnetic field of-quantum locking.*

- they remain entitled to saturation - as a result of the elliptic [and these indicate the doublet of the elliptic],... of a negative-reflux 'above' at (@) repulsive....

I crushed this problem - ****With all of my-focus.***

It remain(s) there is 'void-space' - that this space serves two-functions.

In tandem with thermalization managing two-function(s).

This 'space' - remain(s) to retroact the L(2) for the L(1), - that the cavity mode is generated, as a result of doping.* - this, of the $\text{Log}[x+\delta]$ visa-via an $R^{\{2\}}$ versus an $R^{\{1\}}$.

That the E.P. - "also" - remain(s) identified with an-inversion,... - it is an inversion of E and B, on all layer(s).

The *Ultimate solution just landed on-me.

That of $2x(x)$, for of $1.ox(y)$, in $1x(x')$ and $1.ox(y')$ and $C.\{A|B\}$ of a Fisher/Bayes, over space and time!

That of the L(2) and L(1) therefore find a perfect fit! - as well as it's mathematical adoption.

Should I get it:

a.) Running around in a circle in-traces, as an instrument.

or

b.) Wavelet conditional assumptive and dense matrix.

?

Replace the basic model of-sound.

Conservative 'low-lying mode' - Active 'high-flying mode'.

Separate into these two-parts of the orchestration.

$(x,y)-(x',y')$ -Curved Dirac.

With EPR.

10:07 pm

This [the above] create(s) space, - for which the activation of one stream of evidence', may alter the relation with another' - of it's verifiable clause, [inc.] what is the motivating *mechanism*.

I *set-aside* voices [with an absolute exception] - in terms of commutativity and non-commutativity, that of observation, pain, and the nature of neuroanatomy, - that of the disputation in-another, and negation.

Thus, we find there is an absolute exception, and:

a.) A sociological abridgement.

b.) A scientific abridgement.

I've chosen to clean up on my life, - that I am at-peace, *somewhat.

Therefore, as we find, epistemology has a root, and there is a 'scale differential' - of which relates to the

verification of proof, - that proof structures have an entrance and an exit, of which relate to two natures of problem. That these are 'themselves' tractable, is verification that one is defines zero, - but that one is defined in terms of zero linguistically.

That there is a verification of which induction may be limited to a *central and *singular step, is then validity of the nature of relating problems to one another, and of their proof structures.

That (0.1) is the base lemma of mathematic(s) is then valid in analysis and geometry,... that of the Birch Swinnerton Dyer conjecture, may take time. I have thought extensively, then to find that it is indeed qualified that the problem of (4.5.6) and such is related to the (1.2.3) nature of problem, that of '3' borderline.

Thus, we may parametrize, ... but knowledge pre-exists our awareness,... then that becomes known.

I've chosen for these terms to define the *final problem I will deal with [when I get there] and of what is to come.

That of a 'disc' - of which hold(s) an acceleration, velocity, position, and depth, in time and radius and angle.

For this, - there should be a slowing down, and we can consider varieties of differential equations in the space.

That I will relate of the (4') problem and the (2') problem, I expect they come out differently but than that of a quadratic *problem of which relates to the quartic *problem. Thus,... in terms of field(s), and so-on.

This, problem - problem, is where I will make my headway. That it is frustrating, at time(s), but there are simplifying measures to be found. That I need not parametrize all of reality, it may be possible to unite physics.

I know why I was getting confused, for I was mixing problems from two categories:

- a.) The problem of the containment of the problem (4'-2') etc..., and whether there was one or two.
- b.) That of the equivalence of world-frame(s) with respect to quantum mechanics, and relativity.

Thus, we find reality is in a sense 'specific and generic' - that there is a kind of equivalence of the nature of one problem, and a deeper problem containing a simple problem.

Given: *All ordinary differential equations are geometrically linear,... we must advance the notion of the operator.

That of the commutator is flat for that of the ordinary differential equations, - that with $(\{a.x^2\} + \{b.x\} + \{c\})...$ and so-on, - there is that of a 'range' for measurement, the other side of the problem. Thus, I was correct!

It is 'silent' - however there is another, for which I know based-on-my-heart. I have concluded this much, I am *ready* to go-on,... that I have it.

Under substitution - it is that the 'alias-copy' on that of *voices, for what are *then* valid, derives from which there was a ruling..... as to-state (I would be guilty of communication) - but not of the action of internet

crime.

I am relieved, that this meant everything to-me. Finally.

I now* considered the problem of two differential eigenvalue/eigenvector problem(s), and of their Lax-pair.....

They appear to not commute, to which there is a generalization unifying gravity and quantum mechanics, by what is a substitution of a *dual* formalism, of either genesis. This, must be placed, in contrast with the infinite [truncated to N, N an integer] series, for the matrix devolution of it's (presumably infinite and geometric) setting.

That there appear to be two sort(s) of problem(s), this one, for that of a quadratic, must be tempered, ... thus, I see that the ***exact** quadratic, is not understood, in relation to the elliptic and hyperelliptic varieties, in as much as a general solution to superposition is necessitated. That this depends on a curve ***on** a curve, ... it is a Legendre problem. Thus, for that of the Legendre problem, and the Riemann-Hilbert problem, at the intersection to which is the Painleve property, - there is something to be found.

There is a 'Ipseity Pole' within the system,... that gravity comes from within the solid, to outer,....

As well* it seems that I do not like the 2nd law of thermodynamic(s) given the 1st. Entropy is conserved, in my opinion...

That I have resolved part of the enigma, for which there is a second order equation of-which relates to a first order, and of such, the non-linear varieties, - there is much to this,..... that I see the connecting threads falling into place. I figure, entropy decreases in part of the system of the superconductor, given a kind of 'relative and inferred probability'. That I have this, and the lesson of the complexity to which I may convince another, there is a question. Thus, I see it is necessary to motion for a new declaration, and of-which I may organize my-notes.

I am - encouraged - for which I have classified the relationship by which *other's* [my Friend's] are-real.

I feel that I should do something* with-this, - that I want to innovate a solution to Schizophrenia.

I feel that neuroscience has taken me far, and I have this relationship with pain, and it's understanding.

I also have a relationship with physics, for what is-encouraged, - thus, - that I have a kind of reality condition.

For on the one hand, we have:

- a.) A set of principle problem(s)* of two-varieties, relating to induction.
- b.) And of fisher and bayes theorem, and ways of determinant relationships with probability.

We also have:

- c.) The real world, and conveyance to-another, with that of gravitation and physics in general.

Thus, it is between:

- 1.) Organic equations, for which relate to structure, and are limited of ϕ , in exact analysis, versus 'strange'.
- 2.) And, the seeming transcendent immediacy of a net-net exact solution, to which gravity plays a part...

Thus, I intend to find a correspondence principle, and to do-this much, as well as:

- a.) Superconductivity.
- b.) My device.

I have limited other plans, but it is necessary to focus, and to objectify.

I have related of the *Law, and of various field(s).

That there is:

Weight

Measure

Thus, I found a solution to the measurement problem, on a kind of phenomena, whereas equations are open to what is valid, and there is a dichotomy:

When it is we suppose of that of an interpretation, it can be provocative enough to convince us, - then, there is the real reality, perhaps testable, perhaps not.

That I found a solution to the Oracle, - there is the probabilistic tone by which if it were red, it would come up red, - but to-state, it is checkerboard, and patterned, - and thus, for verification of mean(s), it does not matter, a priori reasoned back to what randomness it-was. That clearly there are new experiences, it is often stated we cannot predict the future, - but at the least, we may relate of an unbiased contingency - as i.e. on Hume's fork.

Thus, the blind, etc,... and I did this much, on my Friend(s), etc,... and of life/death.

There is a *vast discrepancy between my theorem(s) on that of the second order poly, and that of inheritance, but there is a short-gap I cannot seem to solve, for that of the geometric nature of curved space and time, and that of the inheritance, - for which deals with that of the exact versus the proximate, - at that of other's.

I think the problem has to do with concept(s) & their-conveyance,... for instance, it is the $(x^{\{2\}})$ which relates of the Airy, - that of a fourier, for which it reduces in either of two limit(s),... a bound and a near-bound solution, etc,... or, - to that of the quadratic and that of the separation as it deals with a given 'local' proximate, - for which the gaussian is outside observation! Thus, - to other(s) there is this. That it must necessitate that of a metanalysis at some-layer.

My major insight was that Fisher and Bayes likelihood and probability coincide when and if and only if the

initial condition is defined such that there is a y' (possibly missing?), of which relates to $xx-y$, and that of $L(2)$ and $L(1)$; thus, - that superconductivity (visa via entropy) is defined, the cosmological constant is defined, and that of the projective coordinates and that of gravity (quadratic?) - in relation to inheritance is defined.

Thus, I must put together the projective, with the quadratic, with that of the gravitation, and the inheritance in terms of superconductivity.

There is another, *when Fisher and Bayes agree, to that of the laws of physics, and the stipulation is true, - that there is a jurisprudence, and indication of R for B , - of that of all-law(s) balanced on a point.*

Thus, of the relationship of a multiplicity of directions, in relation to a scalar-curvature (for which 'propel(s)' in orchestration) - the electromagnetic mass-energy-tensor is diagonal in the basis of gravity, for that of (which) - it is perpendicular (of a different direction) - in relation to the cross-diagonal term, that of the non-commutativity.... Thus, it is an equivalent gap no matter the direction in relation to the magnet.

I've *decided on my final course of-action:*

- 1.) That of the **studies into-applicable-mathematics**; that of model and theory building techniques.
 - a.) I am no longer after a **Theory of Everything**.
 - b.) Programming studies.
- 2.) That of a **Lawful dialectic into causation in the open-term(s) of which relate to inheritance & Cancer.*
 - a.) *This may remain long-or-short; but is designed to be focused.*
- 3.) That of studies into engineering and **Computational/Material(s) design, & of The Device.*

I'm going to collect all of my talent(s) into three-seed(s).

Holonomy and Homology **combine*** to produce a Chern number and winding of **Topology and Category**.

The ** Riemann-Hilbert problem & Grausmannian relate to the 'boundary through C-R' and of Geometry.*

Thus, Stoke(s) and Anti-Stokes is related of [via Kerr-effect & Berry's Phase] to **Genuinely Quantum Topo**.

- **Dirac's Scissor(s)**.

A surjective forgetful functor, [algebraic set] - dispute(s) to a heirarchal Topology in inherence, of a 'higher' scaffolding, and dispute(s) of all residual ancillary long-chain(s) of Homology, - for that of the residual Cantor slash derivative,... to remain* an off-diagonal element, *and therefore sorted.*

The edificial is the relation of the quadratic to entrie(s) in $inf+$ order, and $inf-$ order, per π to the exponential, of an inverse surjective set-set of that of the Comm/Non-comm, - thus, essentially, it is an arrow in-return, from which time-flies, for which reason hold(s) - that there is a prototypical element (drawn) of which remain(s) there[in] of it's necessary preliminary presentment, to an edificial in yet any priority fact of it's class identifier, that of the incurrence, to which when-removably replaced, remains identified with its Markov-associate class,

and inheritance to necessary, sufficient, progressive, preliminary, automatic, and given supposition(s) of a totem(s) or self-similar exterior hull, of differential and integral theses.

(1),(sqrt(2)),(sqrt(6))... it remain(s) topological as a qubit, and, quantum,....

of:

(0,1/sqrt(2),sqrt(2))-(1,0,sqrt(3))-

+1-1,sqrt(2)-1/sqrt(2),sqrt(6)-sqrt(3)/sqrt(2)...+1,1/sqrt(2)-1/sqrt(2),sqrt(3)/sqrt(2)-1/sqrt(2)

0,sqrt(4),sqrt(12)....sqrt(8),sqrt(2),sqrt(6)

I've got to complete something, - that I will only so much as retire after I have agreed to finish the paper(s). I have it at that of a number of relationships.

I will need keep things-separated, somewhat, - that at the least, I have a confirmative on my friend(s).

Thus, I think this idea of inference, and co-dependent arising,..... is definitely a good idea.

The 'redshift' and 'blueshift' - remain the $(g^{\mu})^{-1}$ of which relate via L(1) and L(2) via the inverse-reciprocity between the localization and redshift or blueshift, [to inc. Fisher and Bayes] and inference and co-dependent arising..... that this goes back to old research. And completes the equation of how to put the two theories together.

Relativity is normally-ordered, and acts attractive,... in the normal world; quantum mechanics can act either way, - that like-charges normally repel.

Thus, if gravitation goes-repulsive,..... and there is null residual, for some reason, and quantum mechanics goes attractive between like charges, - given observation, at that of mathematics, the order is reflexive of- which gravitation may induce charges to attract, - meanwhile the interposition of observer(s) may render like charges to attract, for of the 'switchover' of E.P.

It is relative..., - that charges attract merely so that they remain static,..... therefore in circles.

That this explains why the group of elliptic functions is unidirectional and monopolar.....

I have 'deciphered' - that I ended where I begun,... that the 'Dirac equation' is sufficient, of an engineering problem. That, - doping leads to L(1,2), and this yield(s) the composite of the phenomena.

I was not educated sufficiently, - or I took a wrong step. That of the g^{μ} is indeed inverted, as a consequent of the thermal/sound cavity that opens, clearing the way for an interaction, of the 'double nature' - there *only* remains to illustrate how the projective relationship of the pole(s) is related to that of relativity.

It is actually, a $g_{\mu\nu}\sigma^{\mu\nu}$; on that of curvature,... - for of which the rational conclusion is that **Spin***, remain(s) of off-diagonal term(s); - that of ***Curvature in Compensation**.

Thus, mass, spin, and charge separate, such that the $L(1,2)$ take(s) partipance of different natures...

Relativity and quantum mechanic(s) belabor the point of two-edifaces..... that relativity takes partipance in one caveat, while quantum mechanics takes partipance in the other caveat.

These remain uniquely of **Mathematics*.

*This [above] explains the-Hopf bifurcation perfectly..... that relativity remains of one contribution, while the $L(1,2)$ of another. To which they may be seen either way.

Something... has forced me to-choose sides, - that the quantum behavior is amenable to-which it is 'top' to the 'bottom' that is relativity, - but that they are equals in another given sense - thus, the benefit of the doubt goes-to-the-quantum-behavior. When the $L(1,2)$ and linear isotropy of quantum mechanics is ramified, - it causes relativity to redshift and blueshift! - or, this is the primary pattern.*

Normalization!

This accounts for the inversion, the relative shift, and the $L(1)$ and $L(2)$,.... compactly.

Yes,... so the mathematic(s) and the relative assure that the $L(1)$ and $L(2)$ remain flat,... without an interpretation..... for what is the $g_{\mu\nu}$ and the $\gamma^{\mu\nu}$.

Solving the covariance condition.

'It's an identity.'

I will-need to-relate the (u^2, u_x) to relativity.

I have this theorem, but need relate mathematic(s) to physics,..... it is possible Godelian Incompletion does not apply to our-world.

I found that there is an ideal suggested by the 'judicious' choice of terms for that of my-equation, in subtle terms,.... a mean field should [additionally] suppose an-ideal, that of a Reisz basis. Thus, does not represent a different group, of spin, charge, mass?

I remain with two-distinct 'object(s)':

a.) **Ideal(s), and Projective Equations.**

b.) **Non-linear Equations.**

***Could the key be that the space [or envelope] of it's-relationship *possesses a pole 'outside'* - - at least initially?

There are only three potential situations or satisfactory answers.

1.) That of the ideal is 'cast' outside the space.

2.) The 'form' is merely $G_{\mu\nu} - T_{\mu\nu}$; and such that the basis is an equivalence of

frames..... evaluated over general covariance with respect to quantum mechanics.

3.) The finite lattice, imposes a constraint.

I know 2.) and 3.) take-place, what I do not know is *how* 1.) imposes this nature of process.

The projective-basis assures that the ideal (outside the space) - adhere(s) of a \sqrt{n} on that of the Elliptic ontological basis,..... that local term(s) and the strata of the entire-group conform.

Then, with that of the 'tension, torsion' - there is that of a relativity, and a group-law, of which relate of a precise* statement of mathematics to physics.

1.) Thermally, there is isolation of marginal singularities and critical points away from one another, with the introduction of a neutral (null-epsilon) coverage of the electromagnetic 'simulated' vacuum, of the electron gas.

2.) Secondly, there is a separation into lebesgue measure $l(2)$ and $l(1)$ which furnishes a noncommutator for that of the electron raising and lowering operators, thus phonons with the above 'filter' the residue classifier of the projective space which emerges.

3.) Third, that of the phonon(s) amplify the approximate of the relation of the missing space of the electron-founded valence, to produce a 'spectral gap' - for which there is a 'hole' in the sea, to which the gauss-bonnet reverses, via a projective ideal, associated with the crystal.

4.) Fourth, - that of the electron inheritance remains redshifted, in relation to the raised or lowered superconductor, of either red or blue shift, establishing a valent unitary spatially separated and temporally admitted quantum inheritance of the variety of the preclusion via the spectral gap.

Thus, the 'hole and doping' introduce a gravitational/relative shift, to which afford(s) a compensation of the validity of 'space' - admitting a type of non-local activation via which the system convert(s) the 'ideal' of 'heat' into a compensatory current.

As for example, of the above, - the 'void' open in a system, will 'lock' persistent frozen current(s) in type two (a hole interior the system of an etched void) - thus, - that this is compensation in perfect agreement that a phase will transpire, - but there will be nevertheless a quantum locking phenomena.

I have most of it, one thing is missing:

a.) That of the inheritance rule to which in-either the justification of a gravitational (quadratic) perturbation will elicit that of a Fisher-Bayes like 'bridge' on causation. However, I do* know the Fisher/Bayes agreement of contact-relationship remain(s) of the direct arrow from an initial condition.... for that of the alternative $x'-y'$ bridge at $xx-y$... and of it's duple, like a tandem-relationship.

Thus, the $l(1)$ and $l(2)$ for that of the $x'-y'$ bridge to $xx-y$, form with the E.P. and the $2.x1.0$, - that of a condition with mathematic(s); that it is the 'contained measure' of expectation on the 'hidden observable' of the system; when 'unobserved' a-separation in law.