

Paris [s.] Miles-Brenden

Remark

April 1st, 2024

12:35 am

Under-provided check(s), court(s), and lein(s), accessorize post-notary, what is a provided *addend*, for in non-privisio it's *majora per noted minora*, from-what in **Black** is a *White* cross-distributive, it's intended discourse of a one-way, is it's counsel-pliancy to a *Notary Jurisdictional*.

Then, that the Oct'-10/9, is a *Plargarist*, for in *Mutually-undercomcomitant formative hypothetical*. That this* uncut *provision* is a dialog-at-addendum *pro-minisucual it's entitled doctrinal process to a jurist and plea*. Thus, *cross-mutual counsel, does not but declare of the entitled North-South, unpunctuated process of a Board and Tack*.

By, of (foreignably) - what must be unsurvived, *it is unexpectorant of a displeased unionable process at Bleakness*, then, the onset of **Black**, and the recession of *White*. From-in-which neither mutual nor-plus-addend may be but yet a **o**, in punctuated marking, **O**, per it's delagatory remission of one entitled disputed truth of person to impediment.

Therefore, since I had [Proven] not-destroyed the evidence', pro-ediface, the major conditional assignment is a design of self to equipped mean at **Red/Black**, - that neither but a pro-benefit of *Remark*, can proffer the lenient discharge, to which I am mutually entirely acquitted, for in solitary condition, and absence of *Remark*, to a set-night.